arXiv:1401.0988v1 [math.AG] 6 Jan 2014

LOG DEL PEZZO SURFACES WITH NOT SMALL FRACTIONAL INDICES

KENTO FUJITA

ABSTRACT. For a log del Pezzo surface S, the fractional index $r(S) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is the maximum of r with which $-K_S$ can be written as r times some Cartier divisor. We classify all the log del Pezzo surfaces S with $r(S) \geq 1/2$, after the technique of Nakayama.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of the article is to classify a certain class of normal projective surface with some positivity condition. A normal projective variety V is called a *log Fano variety* if V is log-terminal (that is, the canonical divisor K_V is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and the discrepancy of V is strictly bigger than -1) and the anti-canonical $-K_V$ is ample ($\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier). Two-dimensional log Fano varieties are denoted by *log del Pezzo surfaces*. If the base field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$, then the notion of log-terminal surface singularities is nothing but the notion of quotient singularities. For a log Fano variety V, let

$$r(V) := \sup\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \mid -K_V \equiv rL \text{ for some Cartier divisor } L\}$$

and we call $r(V)$ the *fractional index* of V. Around the end of 1980's, Alexeev [Ale88, Ale91] considered the following set:

$$\mathrm{FS}_n := \{r(V) \mid V : n\text{-dimensional log Fano variety}\}.$$

The set is closely related to the minimal model program.

Fact 1.1. *Assume that the base field is the complex number field* $\mathbb{C}$.

(1) [Ale88] *The set* FS_2 *satisfies the ascending chain condition. Moreover, the set of accumulation points of* FS_2 *is*

$$\{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \;\middle|\; k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \right\}.$$

(2) [Ale91] *For any* $n \geq 2$*, the equality* $\mathrm{FS}_n \cap (n-2, \infty) = \mathrm{FS}_2 + (n-2)$ *holds.*

Date: January 7, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J26; Secondary 14E30.

Key words and phrases. del Pezzo surface, rational surface, extremal ray.

(3) [HMX12] *The set* FS_n *satisfies the ascending chain condition.*

In this article, we analyze the set FS_2. First, we can easily analyze the set $\mathrm{FS}_2 \cap [1, \infty)$.

Proposition 1.2 (=Proposition 3.5)**.** *Let S be a log del Pezzo surface.*

(1) [Fuj75] *If $r(S) > 1$, then S is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{P}^2$ ($r(S) = 3$), $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ($r(S) = 2$), or $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, n)$ ($n \geq 2$) ($r(S) = (n+2)/n$).*
(2) [Bre80, Dem80, HW81] *If $r(S) = 1$, then S has at most du Val singularities and such S has been classified.*

We are mainly interested in the set $\mathrm{FS}_2 \cap [1/2, 1)$.

Remark 1.3. Let S be a log del Pezzo surface over $\Bbbk$.

(1) Assume that $-2K_S$ is Cartier. Such S has been classified by Alexeev-Nikulin [AN88, AN89, AN06] (for the case $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$) and Nakayama [Nak07] (for arbitrary $\Bbbk$).
(2) Assume that $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$, $r(S) = 2/3$ and $-3K_S$ is Cartier. Such S has been treated by Ohashi-Taki [OT12] (see Remark 3.10).

The main theorem in this article is to give a geometric classification of the log del Pezzo surfaces S with $r(S) \in [1/2, 1)$ (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2) over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of arbitrary characteristic. As a corollary, we can determine the set $\mathrm{FS}_2 \cap [1/2, 1)$ (Corollary 4.3).

The main idea for the classification comes from Nakayama's technique used in [Nak07]. We consider the following three objects:

- A log del Pezzo surface S and positive integers a, b such that $r(S) = b/a \in [1/2, 1)$ and $-aK_S \sim bL_S$ for some Cartier divisor. The pair (a, b) is called a *multi-index* of S.
- An (a, b)*-basic pair* (M, E_M) such that M is a nonsingular projective rational surface, E_M is an effective divisor on M and satisfies the conditions in Section 3.2.
- An (a, b)*-fundamental triplet* (X, E_X, Δ) such that X is either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$, E_X is an effective divisor on X, Δ is a zero-dimensional subscheme of X and satisfies the conditions in Section 3.3.

The relationship among those three are as follows. From a log del Pezzo surface S and a multi-index (a, b) of S, we take the minimal resolution $\alpha\colon M \to S$ of S. Set $E_M := -aK_{M/S}$. Then the pair (M, E_M) is an (a, b)-basic pair. Conversely, from an (a, b)-basic pair (M, E_M), some positive multiple of $-aK_M - E_M$ determines a birational morphism $\alpha\colon M \to S$ such that S is a log del Pezzo surface, (a, b) is a multi-index of S and α is the minimal resolution of S. The relationship is treated in Section 3.2. From an (a, b)-basic pair (M, E_M), by taking $(-E_M)$-minimal model program, we get a birational morphism $\phi\colon M \to$

X such that $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$. Set $E_X := \phi_* E_M$. There exists a zero-dimensional subscheme $\Delta \subset X$ satisfying the $(\nu 1)$-condition such that ϕ is the elimination of Δ (see Section 2.1). Then the triplet (X, E_X, Δ) is an (a, b)-fundamental triplet. Conversely, from an (a, b)-fundamental triplet (X, E_X, Δ), the pair $(M, (E_X)_M^{\Delta, a-b})$ is an (a, b)-basic pair, where $\phi\colon M \to X$ is the elimination of Δ and $(E_X)_M^{\Delta, a-b} = \phi^* E_X - (a - b)K_{M/X}$. The relationship is treated in Section 3.3.

In Section 4, we classify all of the (normalized) (a, b)-fundamental triplets with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$ and $b > 1$. The main strategy to classy the triplet (X, E, Δ) is to exclude the possibility such that E contains singular components. The argument is prepared in Section 2.2. The idea is simple but is important in order to classify the triplets. In Section 5.2, we tabulate the list of the dual graphs of non-Gorenstein singularities on S (see Tables 1 and 2). This is a direct consequence of the results in Section 4.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Doctor Kazunori Yasutake for useful discussion and comments. The author is partially supported by a JSPS Fellowship for Young Scientists.

Notation and terminology. We work in the category of algebraic (separated and of finite type) scheme over a fixed algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of arbitrary characteristic. A *variety* means a reduced and irreducible algebraic scheme. A *surface* means a two-dimensional variety.

For a normal variety X, we say that D is a $\mathbb{Q}$-*divisor* (resp. *divisor* or $\mathbb{Z}$-*divisor*) if D is a finite sum $D = \sum a_i D_i$ where D_i are prime divisors and $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). For a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D = \sum a_i D_i$, the value a_i is denoted by $\operatorname{coeff}_{D_i} D$ and set $\operatorname{coeff} D := \{a_i\}_i$. A normal variety X is called *log-terminal* if the canonical divisor K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and the discrepancy $\operatorname{discrep}(X)$ of X is bigger than -1 (see [KM98, §2.3]). For a proper birational morphism $f\colon Y \to X$ between normal varieties such that both K_X and K_Y are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, we set

$$K_{Y/X} := \sum_{E_0 \subset Y \ f\text{-exceptional}} a(E_0, X) E_0,$$

where $a(E_0, X)$ is the discrepancy of E_0 with respects to X (see [KM98, §2.3]). (We note that if aK_X and aK_Y are Cartier for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $aK_{Y/X}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.)

For a nonsingular surface S and a projective curve C which is a closed subvariety of S, the curve C is called a $(-n)$-*curve* if C is a nonsingular rational curve and $(C^2) = -n$.

Now we determine the dual graphs for divisors on surfaces. Let S be a nonsingular surface and let $D = \sum a_j D_j$ be an effective divisor

on S ($a_j > 0$) such that $|D|$ (the support of D) is simple normal crossing and D_i, D_j are intersected at most one point. (It is sufficient in our situation.) The *dual graph* of D is defined as follows. A vertex corresponds to a component D_j. Let v_j be the vertex corresponds to D_j. The v_i and v_j are joined by a (simple) line if and only if D_i, D_j are intersected. In the dual graphs of divisors, a vertex corresponding to $(-n)$-curve is expressed as follows:

(-1)-curve	(-2)-curve	(-3)-curve	$(-n)$-curve
○	●	◉	ⓝ

On the other hand, an arbitrary irreducible curve is expressed by the symbol ⊘ when it is not necessary a $(-n)$-curve.

Let $\mathbb{F}_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n))$ of degree n with the $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration. A section $\sigma \subset \mathbb{F}_n$ with $\sigma^2 = -n$ is called a *minimal section*. If $n > 0$, then such σ is unique. A section σ_∞ with $\sigma \cap \sigma_\infty = \emptyset$ is called a *section at infinity*. For a section at infinity σ_∞, we have $\sigma_\infty \sim \sigma + nl$, where l is a fiber of the fibration.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Elimination of subschemes.** We recall the results in [Nak07, §2]. Let X be a nonsingular surface and Δ be a zero-dimensional subscheme of X. The defining ideal sheaf of Δ is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_\Delta$.

Definition 2.1. Let P be a point of Δ.

(1) Let $\nu_P(\Delta) := \max\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \,|\, \mathcal{I}_\Delta \subset \mathfrak{m}_P^\nu\}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_P$ is the maximal ideal sheaf in $\mathcal{O}_X$ defining P. If $\nu_P(\Delta) = 1$ for any $P \in \Delta$, then we say that Δ *satisfies the* $(\nu 1)$*-condition*.
(2) The *multiplicity* $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ of Δ at P is given by the length of the Artinian local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,P}$.
(3) The *degree* $\deg \Delta$ of Δ is given by $\sum_{P \in \Delta} \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$.

Definition 2.2. For an effective divisor D and a point P, we set

$$\operatorname{mult}_P D := \max\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \,|\, \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \subset \mathfrak{m}_P^\nu\}.$$

Let $\pi\colon Y \to X$ be the blowing up along P and let e be the exceptional curve. Then $\operatorname{mult}_P D$ is equal to $\operatorname{coeff}_e \pi^* D$.

Definition 2.3. Assume that Δ satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition. Let $V \to X$ be the blowing up along Δ. The *elimination* of Δ is the birational projective morphism $\phi\colon M \to X$ which is defined as the composition of the minimal resolution $M \to V$ of V and the morphism $V \to X$. For any divisor E on X and for any positive integer s, we set $E_M^{\Delta,s} := \phi^* E - sK_{M/X}$.

Proposition 2.4 ([Nak07, Proposition 2.9]). (1) *Assume that the subscheme Δ satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition and let $\phi\colon M \to X$ be the elimination of Δ. Then the anti-canonical divisor $-K_M$ is ϕ-nef. More precisely, for any $P \in \Delta$ with $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = k$, the set-theoretic inverse image $\phi^{-1}(P)$ is the straight chain $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma_j$ of nonsingular rational curves and the dual graph of $\phi^{-1}(P)$ is the following:*



(2) *Conversely, for a non-isomorphic proper birational morphism $\phi\colon M \to X$ between nonsingular surfaces such that $-K_M$ is ϕ-nef, the morphism ϕ is the elimination of Δ which satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\Delta := \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(-K_{M/X})$.*

Now we see some examples of the dual graphs of $E_M^{\Delta,s}$.

Example 2.5. Assume that Δ satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition such that $|\Delta| = \{P\}$. Let $E = mE_1$ be a non-zero effective divisor such that E_1 is reduced and nonsingular at $P \in E_1$. Let $k := \deg \Delta$ and $l := \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap E_1)$. By [Nak07, Lemma 2.17], we have

$$E_M^{\Delta,s} = mE_{1,M} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} i(m-s)\Gamma_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{k} (ml - si)\Gamma_i,$$

where $E_{1,M}$ is the strict transform of E_1 on M. Moreover, the dual graph of $\phi^{-1}(E)$ is the following:



Therefore, the divisor $E_M^{\Delta,s}$ is effective and $\mathrm{coeff}_{\Gamma_k} E_M^{\Delta,s} = 0$ if and only if $ml = sk$ holds. In this case, we have $\max_i\{\mathrm{coeff}_{\Gamma_i} E_M^{\Delta,s}\} = l(m-s) = s(k-l)$.

Example 2.6. Assume that Δ satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition such that $|\Delta| = \{P\}$. Let $E = m_1E_1 + m_2E_2$ be a non-zero effective divisor such that E_1 and E_2 are reduced and intersect transversally at a unique point $P = E_1 \cap E_2$. Let $k := \deg \Delta$ and $l_j := \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap E_j)$. By

[Nak07, Lemma 2.12], we may assume that $l_1 = 1$. By [Nak07, Lemma 2.14], we have

$$E_M^{\Delta,s} = m_1 E_{1,M} + m_2 E_{2,M} + \sum_{i=1}^{l_2} (i(m_2 - s) + m_1)\Gamma_i + \sum_{i=l_2+1}^{k} (m_1 + l_2 m_2 - is)\Gamma_i,$$

where $E_{j,M}$ is the strict transform of E_j in M. Moreover, the dual graph of $\phi^{-1}(E)$ is the following:



Therefore, the divisor $E_M^{\Delta,s}$ is effective and $\mathrm{coeff}_{\Gamma_k} E_M^{\Delta,s} = 0$ if and only if $m_1 l_1 + m_2 l_2 = sk$ holds. In this case, we have $\max_i\{\mathrm{coeff}_{\Gamma_i} E_M^{\Delta,s}\} = m_1 l_1 + m_2 l_2 - s l_2 = s(k - l_2)$.

2.2. **Curves in nonsingular surfaces.** In this section, we fix the notation.

Notation 2.7. Let X be a nonsingular surface and let

$$M = X_k \xrightarrow{\phi_k} X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{k-1}} X_{k-2} \to \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_0 = X$$

be a sequence of monoidal transforms such that the morphism $\phi_i \colon X_i \to X_{i-1}$ is the blowing up along $P_i \in X_{i-1}$ with the exceptional curve $\Gamma_i \subset X_i$. Let $\phi \colon M \to X$ be the composition.

Definition 2.8. We fix Notation 2.7. For an effective divisor $C \subset X$, set $m_i := \mathrm{mult}_{P_i} C_{i-1}$, where C_{i-1} is the strict transform of C on X_{i-1}. We call $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ the *multiplicity sequence* of C with respects to $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$. If we do not need to know the decomposition $\phi = \phi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k$, then we often call $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ the *multiplicity sequence* of C with respects to ϕ.

The following proposition is important in this article but is easy to prove. We omit the proof.

Proposition 2.9. *Fix Notation 2.7 and assume that X is projective.*

(1) *Let $C \subset X$ be a reduced and irreducible curve, let $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ be the multiplicity sequence of C with respects to $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$. Let C_M be the strict transform of C on M.*
   (i) *We have $2p_a(C_M) = 2p_a(C) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i(m_i - 1)$, where $p_a(\bullet)$ is the arithmetic genus.*

(ii) *We have* $(K_{M/X} \cdot C_M) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$. *We note that if* C *is nonsingular and* ϕ *is the elimination of* $\Delta \subset X$ *which satisfies the* $(\nu 1)$*-condition, then the left side is equal to* $\deg(\Delta \cap C)$ *by* [Nak07, Lemma 2.7].

(iii) *If* $P_i \in \Gamma_{i-1}$, *then* $m_i \leq m_{i-1}$ *holds.*

(2) *Let* C_1, C_2 *be effective divisors on* X. *Let* $(m_{h,1} \ldots, m_{h,2})$ *be the multiplicity sequence of* C_h *with respects to* $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$ *for* $h = 1, 2$. *Let* $C_{h,M}$ *be the strict transform of* C_h *on* M *for* $h = 1, 2$. *Then we have* $(C_1 \cdot C_2) = (C_{1,M} \cdot C_{2,M}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{1,i} m_{2,i}$. *In particular, if* C_2 *is a nonsingular curve with* $C_2 \not\subset C_1$ *and* ϕ *is the elimination of* $\Delta \subset X$ *which satisfies the* $(\nu 1)$*-condition, then* (*by* [Nak07, Lemma 2.7]) *there exists a sequence* $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq k$ *such that*

$$m_{2,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = i_t \text{ for some } t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $j = \deg(\Delta \cap C_2)$, *thus we have* $(C_1 \cdot C_2) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{j} m_{1,i_t}$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let* X *be a nonsingular complete surface,* Δ *be a zero-dimensional subscheme of* X *which satisfies the* $(\nu 1)$*-condition,* $\phi \colon M \to X$ *be the elimination of* Δ *and* C_1, C_2 *be distinct nonsingular curves on* X. *We set* $k := \deg \Delta$ *and* $k_h := \deg(\Delta \cap C_h)$ *for* $h = 1, 2$. *Then* $(C_1 \cdot C_2) \geq k_1 + k_2 - k$ *holds.*

Proof. Let $(m_{h,1}, \ldots, m_{h,k})$ be the multiplicity sequence of C_h with respect to ϕ for $h = 1, 2$. Then $m_{h,i} \in \{0, 1\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{h,i} = k_h$ and $(C_1 \cdot C_2) = (C_{1,M} \cdot C_{2,M}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{1,i} m_{2,i}$ holds by Proposition 2.9, where $C_{h,M}$ is the strict transform of C_h on M. Thus the assertion holds since $(C_{1,M} \cdot C_{2,M}) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{1,i} m_{2,i} \geq k_1 + k_2 - k$. □

Proposition 2.11. *Let* $P \in \mathbb{F}_n$ *and let* l_P *be the fiber passing through* P. *Let* D *be an effective divisor on* $\mathbb{F}_n$ *such that* $D \in |s\sigma + tl|$.

(1) *If* $n \geq 1$ *and* $P \notin \sigma$, *then* $\operatorname{mult}_P D \leq t$.

(2) *We have* $\operatorname{mult}_P D \leq s + \operatorname{coeff}_{l_P} D$.

Proof. (1) There exists a section at infinity σ_∞ such that $P \in \sigma_\infty$ and $\sigma_\infty \not\leq D$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_P D \leq (D \cdot \sigma_\infty) = t$ holds.

(2) Let $D' := D - (\operatorname{coeff}_{l_P} D) D$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_P D' \leq (D' \cdot l_P) = s$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{mult}_P D' = \operatorname{mult}_P D - \operatorname{coeff}_{l_P} D$ holds. Thus $\operatorname{mult}_P D \leq s + \operatorname{coeff}_{l_P} D$ holds. □

2.3. **On base point freeness for surfaces.** In this section, we prepare to prove Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 2.12. *Let M be a nonsingular projective surface, a, b be positive integers, E be an effective divisor on M such that $|E|$ is simple normal crossing and* $\operatorname{coeff} E \subset \{1, \dots, a-1\}$*, and L be a nef and big divisor on M such that $bL \sim -aK_M - E$. Then there exists a projective and birational morphism $\alpha\colon M \to S$ onto a normal projective surface S and an ample Cartier divisor L_S on S such that $L \sim \alpha^* L_S$ and $bL_S \sim -aK_S - \alpha_* E$ holds.*

Proof. The pair $(M, (1/a)E)$ is a klt pair (see [KM98, §2.3]). Moreover, $L - (K_M + (1/a)E) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 + (b/a))L$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on M. Therefore there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the complete linear system $|mL|$ is base point free by the base point free theorem (see [KM98, Theorem 3.3] and [Tan12, Theorem 0.4]). Let $\alpha\colon M \to S$ be the morphism corresponds to $|mL|$ for sufficiently large m. Since L is big, the morphism α is birational. Both mL and $(m+1)L$ are pullbacks of ample Cartier divisors on S. Their difference L_S is an ample Cartier divisor on S such that $\alpha^* L_S \sim L$. Since $\alpha^*(bL_S) \sim -aK_M - E$, we have $bL_S \sim -aK_S - \alpha_* E$. □

3. Log del Pezzo surfaces

In this section, we define the *multi-index* of log del Pezzo surfaces, (a,b)-*basic pairs*, and (a,b)-*fundamental multiplets*. Then we see how they relate.

3.1. Definition and properties of log del Pezzo surfaces.

Definition 3.1. (1) A normal projective surface S is called a *log del Pezzo surface* if S is log-terminal and the anti-canonical divisor $-K_S$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor.

(2) Let S be a log del Pezzo surface. Set

$$r(S) := \sup\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \mid -K_S \equiv rL \text{ for some Cartier divisor } L\}.$$

We call $r(S)$ the *fractional index* of S. A Cartier divisor L_S on S such that $-K_S \equiv r(S)L_S$ is called a *fundamental Cartier divisor* of S.

Remark 3.2. Any log del Pezzo surface is a rational surface by [Nak07, Proposition 3.6]. In particular, the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ of S is a finitely generated and torsion-free Abelian group. Thus $r(S)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-valued, a fundamental Cartier divisor L_S of a log del Pezzo surface S is unique up to linearly equivalence and $-K_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} r(S)L_S$.

Definition 3.3. Let S be a log del Pezzo surface, a, b be positive integers and L_S be the fundamental Cartier divisor of S. We say that

(a, b) is a *multi-index* of S if $-aK_S \sim bL_S$ holds. Thus if S has multi-index (a, b), then $b/a = r(S)$ holds. We say that (a, b) is the *normalized multi-index* of a log del Pezzo surface S if (a, b) is a multi-index of S and for any multi-index (a', b') of S we have $a \leq a'$.

Remark 3.4. Let S be a log del Pezzo surface and L_S be the fundamental Cartier divisor of S.

(1) Let (a, b) be the normalized multi-index of S. Then for any multi-index (a', b') of S, there exists a positive integer t such that $a' = ta$ and $b' = tb$ hold.
(2) Let a_0, b_0 be the positive integers such that $r(S) = b_0/a_0$ and $\gcd(a_0, b_0) = 1$. Then $-a_0K_S \sim b_0L_S$ does *not* hold in general despite $-a_0K_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} b_0L_S$. (See Corollary 4.4.)

We consider the case $r(S) \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let S be a log del Pezzo surface.*

(1) [Fuj75] *If $r(S) > 1$, then S is isomorphic to one of the following:*
   - $\mathbb{P}^2 \quad (r(S) = 3)$,
   - $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \quad (r(S) = 2)$,
   - $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, n) \quad (n \geq 2) \quad (r(S) = (n + 2)/n)$.

(2) *If $r(S) = 1$, then S has at most du Val singularities and such S has been classified* (*see for example* [Bre80, Dem80, HW81]).

Proof. Let S be a log del Pezzo surface with $r(S) \geq 1$, L_S be a fundamental Cartier divisor of S. Choose positive integers a, b such that (a, b) is a multi-index of S. By assumption, $b \geq a$ holds. Let $\alpha\colon M \to S$ be the minimal resolution of S, $L_M := \alpha^*L_S$ and let $E_M := -aK_{M/S}$. Note that E_M is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor and $-aK_M \sim bL_M + E_M$ holds. Since α is the minimal resolution, the divisor E_M is effective (see [KM98, Corollary 4.3]). We remark that $a(K_M + L_M) \sim -E_M - (b - a)L_M$. Therefore, if $K_M + L_M$ is nef, then $E_M = 0$ and $a = b$ hold.

Assume that $K_M + L_M$ is not nef. If there exists a (-1)-curve γ on M such that $(K_M + L_M \cdot \gamma) < 0$, then $(L_M \cdot \gamma) = 0$. However, this implies that γ is α-exceptional. This leads to a contradiction since α is the minimal resolution. Hence $M \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$ by [Mor82, Theorem 2.1] and the fact M is a nonsingular rational surface.

(1) Since $b > a$, $K_M + L_M$ is not nef. Thus $M \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$. Thus the assertion follows immediately.

(2) If $K_M + L_M$ is not nef, then $M \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$. Thus $S \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ since $r(S) = 1$. However, in this case, $-K_M \sim L_M$ holds since α is an isomorphism. Thus $K_M + L_M$ is nef, a contradiction. Hence $K_M + L_M$ is nef. In particular, $E_M = 0$. This implies that S has canonical

singularities. Therefore S has at most du Val singularities by [KM98, Theorem 4.20]. □

3.2. **(a, b)-basic pairs.** We are interested in log del Pezzo surfaces S with $r(S) < 1$, especially with $r(S) \in [1/2, 1)$. It is convenient that considering its minimal resolution and the divisor defining the minimal resolution in order to treat S. We introduce the following notion which is a kind of modification of the notion of basic pairs in the sense of Nakayama [Nak07, §3].

Definition 3.6. Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. A pair (M, E) is called an (a, b)-*basic pair* if the following holds:

(1) M is a nonsingular projective rational surface such that M is not isomorphic to neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor $\mathbb{F}_n$.
(2) E is a nonzero effective divisor on M such that $\operatorname{coeff} E \subset \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$ and $|E|$ is simple normal crossing.
(3) There exists a divisor L on M such that $bL \sim -aK_M - E$.
(4) For any irreducible component $E_0 \leq E$, we have $(L \cdot E_0) = 0$.
(5) $K_M + L$ is nef and $(K_M + L \cdot L) > 0$ hold.

The divisor L is unique up to linearly equivalence. We call L the *fundamental divisor* of (M, E).

An (a, b)-basic pair (M, E) is called a *normalized* (a, b)-*basic pair* if for any $t \geq 2$ with $t \mid a$ and $t \mid b$ there exists an irreducible component $E_0 \leq E$ such that $\operatorname{coeff}_{E_0} E$ is not divisible by t.

Now we see the correspondence between log del Pezzo surfaces of the normalized multi-index (a, b) and normalized (a, b)-basic pairs.

Proposition 3.7. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$.*

(1) *Let S be a log del Pezzo surface of a multi-index (a, b) and let L_S be the fundamental Cartier divisor of S. Let $\alpha \colon M \to S$ be the minimal resolution of S and let $E_M := -aK_{M/S}$. Then the pair (M, E_M) is an (a, b)-basic pair and the divisor $\alpha^* L_S$ is the fundamental divisor of (M, E_M). If (a, b) is the normalized multi-index of S, then (M, E_M) is a normalized (a, b)-basic pair.*
(2) *Let (M, E) be an (a, b)-basic pair and L be the fundamental divisor of (M, E). Then there exists a projective and birational morphism $\alpha \colon M \to S$ such that S is a log del Pezzo surface of a multi-index (a, b) and $L \sim \alpha^* L_S$ hold, where L_S is the fundamental Cartier divisor of S. Moreover, the morphism α is the minimal resolution of S. If (M, E) is a normalized (a, b)-basic pair, then (a, b) is the normalized multi-index of the S.*

(3) *Let a_i, b_i be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b_i/a_i < 1$ and (M_i, E_i) be an (a_i, b_i)-basic pair for any $i = 1, 2$. Two pairs defines same log del Pezzo surface S in the sense of* (2) *if and only if $M_1 \simeq M_2$ and there exists positive integers t_1, t_2 such that $t_1 a_1 = t_2 a_2$, $t_1 b_1 = t_2 b_2$ and $t_1 E_1 = t_2 E_2$ under the isomorphism.*

Proof. (1) Since α is not an isomorphism and $S \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}(1,1,n)$, the variety M is not isomorphic to neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor $\mathbb{F}_n$. The conditions that E_M is a non-zero effective divisor on M such that $\operatorname{coeff} E_M \subset \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$ and $|E|$ is simple normal crossing follow from [KM98, Theorem 4.7].

Let $L_M := \alpha^* L_S$. Then $(L_M \cdot E_0) = 0$ for any irreducible component $E_0 \leq E$ since E_0 is α-exceptional. Assume that $K_M + L_M$ is not nef. Since $M \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{F}_n$, there exists a (-1)-curve γ on M such that $(K_M + L_M \cdot \gamma) < 0$ by [Mor82, Theorem 2.1]. However, this implies that γ is α-exceptional, a contradiction. Thus $K_M + L_M$ is nef.

Assume that $(K_M + L_M \cdot L_M) \leq 0$. Since L_M is nef and big, $K_M + L_M \equiv 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. Thus $E_M \equiv (a-b)L_M$. Hence $0 = (L_M \cdot E_M) = (a-b)(L_M^2) > 0$, which leads to a contradiction. Thus $(K_M + L_M \cdot L_M) > 0$ holds. Therefore the pair (M, E_M) is an (a,b)-basic pair and L_M is the fundamental divisor of (M, E_M).

Assume that there exists $t \geq 2$ with $a = ta'$, $b = tb'$ (a', $b' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) such that $E'_M := (1/t)E_M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on M. Then $b'L_M \sim -a'K_M - E'_M$ holds. Thus $-a'K_S \sim b'L_S$. This means that (a,b) is not the normalized multi-index of S.

(2) Assume that L is not nef. Then there exists a curve C such that $(L \cdot C) < 0$. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> ((a-b)L \cdot C) = ((a-b)L - E \cdot C) + (E \cdot C) \\ &= (a(K_M + L) \cdot C) + (E \cdot C) \geq (E \cdot C). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C \leq E$, contradicts to the condition (4) in Definition 3.6. Thus L is nef. On the other hand, we have

$$0 < (a(K_M + L) \cdot L) = ((a-b)L - E \cdot L) = (a-b)(L^2).$$

Hence L is a nef and big divisor on M. Therefore there exists a projective and birational morphism $\alpha\colon M \to S$ onto a normal projective surface S and an ample Cartier divisor L_S on S such that $L \sim \alpha^* L_S$ and $bL_S \sim -aK_S - \alpha_* E = -aK_S$ hold by Proposition 2.12. In particular, S is a log del Pezzo surface, α is the minimal resolution of S and $E = -aK_{M/S}$. Thus there exists a positive integer s such that $r(S) = sb/a$. If $s \geq 2$, then $r(S) \geq 1$. However, in this case, $E = 0$ or $M \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$ by Proposition 3.5, which leads to a contradiction.

Therefore $r(S) = b/a$ and S is a log del Pezzo surface of a multi-index (a, b) and the fundamental Cartier divisor of S is L_S.

Assume that there exists $t \geq 2$ with $a = ta'$, $b = tb'$ (a', $b' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) such that (a', b') is a multi-index of S. Then any coefficient of E_M is divisible by t. Thus (M, E) is not a normalized (a, b)-basic pair.

(3) The proof is straightforward from the construction. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, (M, E) be an (a, b)-basic pair and L be the fundamental divisor of (M, E).*

1. *Any component $C \leq E$ is a nonsingular rational curve and $(C^2) \leq -2$ hold. Moreover, any connected component of the dual graph of E is a tree.*
2. *If a curve C on M satisfies that $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $(L \cdot C) = 0$, then $C \leq E$ holds.*
3. *The anti-canonical divisor $-K_M$ is big and non-nef. In particular, M is a Mori dream space (for the definition, see* [TVAV11]*).*
4. *If $a - b = 1$, then the linear system $|L|$ is base point free.*

Proof. (1) The proof is obvious from the correspondence between Proposition 3.7 (1) and (2).

(2) Under the assumption, the curve C is α-exceptional and there exists a component $E_0 \leq E$ such that E_0 and C map α to same point, where $\alpha\colon M \to S$ is the morphism given by Proposition 3.7 (2). Thus $C \leq E$ by [KM98, Corollary 4.3].

(3) The anti-canonical divisor $-K_M$ is big since $-aK_M \sim bL + E$. Since M is a nonsingular projective rational surface, M is a Mori dream space by [TVAV11, Theorem 1]. Assume that $-K_M$ is nef. Then $E_M \sim -aK_M - b\alpha^* L_S$ is α-nef, where $\alpha\colon M \to S$ is the morphism and L_S is the Cartier divisor on S given in Proposition 3.7 (2). Since E is effective, we have $E = 0$ by negativity lemma [KM98, Lemma 3.39], which leads to a contradiction. Thus $-K_M$ is not nef.

(4) The proof is essentially same as [Nak07, Theorem 3.18].

Claim 3.9 (cf. [Nak07, Lemma 3.17])**.** *The linear system $|K_M + L|$ is base point free and $H^1(M, m(K_M + L)) = 0$ hold for any $m \geq 0$.*

Proof of Claim 3.9. By running a $(-E)$-minimal model program, we can reduce to the case $M = \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$. Hence the assertion is trivial. □

By Claim 3.9, the complete linear system $|L - E|$ is also base point free since $L - E \sim a(K_M + L)$. Moreover, we have $H^1(M, L - E) = 0$. Hence the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_M(L - E) \to \mathcal{O}_M(L) \to \mathcal{O}_M(L)|_E \to 0$$

induces a short exact sequence of global sections. By [Nak07, Lemma 2.8], $|L|$ is base point free since $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$. □

Remark 3.10. Assume that the characteristic of $\Bbbk$ is equal to zero. Ohashi and Taki argued the log del Pezzo surfaces S in [OT12] such that each S has a non-du Val singular point and satisfies the condition:

- ⋆ The linear system $|-3K_S|$ contains a divisor of the form $2C$, where C is a nonsingular curve which does not meet the singularities.

Under the assumption, since $-3K_S \sim 2C$ and C is a Cartier divisor, the normalized multi-index of S is $(3, 2)$ excepts for $S \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 6)$ (see Proposition 3.5).

Conversely, if S is a log del Pezzo surface of the normalized multi-index $(3, 2)$, then $|L_S|$ is base point free by Lemma 3.8 (4), where L_S is the fundamental Cartier divisor of S. A general member $C \in |L_S|$ is nonsingular which does not meet the singularities by Bertini's theorem. Therefore $2C \in |-3K_S|$ satisfies the condition ⋆.

3.3. **(a, b)-fundamental triplets.** In order to classify (a, b)-basic pairs, we define the notion of (a, b)-fundamental triplets. The correspondence between (a, b)-basic pairs and (a, b)-fundamental triplets will be given in Theorem 5.2.

Definition 3.11. Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. A triplet (X, E, Δ) is called an (a, b)-*fundamental triplet* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) X is a nonsingular projective rational surface.
(2) Δ is a nonempty zero-dimensional subscheme of X which satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition.
(3) E is a nonzero effective divisor on X.
(4) For any (-1)-curve γ on X, we have $(E \cdot \gamma) \leq 0$.
(5) There exists a divisor L on X such that $bL \sim -aK_X - E$ holds. The divisor L (unique up to linearly equivalence) is called the *fundamental divisor* of (X, E, Δ).
(6) Let $\phi\colon M \to X$ be the elimination of Δ and let $E_M := E_M^{\Delta, a-b}$. Then the pair (M, E_M) is an (a, b)-basic pair.
(7) Assume that $K_X + L$ is not big and $X \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$. Then the following conditions are satisfied:
   (i) For a minimal section σ of $\mathbb{F}_n$, we have $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. (In particular, $n \geq 1$ holds.)
   (ii) If there exists a component $D \leq E$ which is a section apart from σ, then $n + (D^2) \geq \deg(\Delta \cap D)$ holds. Moreover, if $n + (D^2) = \deg(\Delta \cap D)$, then $\operatorname{coeff}_\sigma E \geq \operatorname{coeff}_D E$ holds.

For an (a,b)-fundamental triplet (X,E,Δ), the pair (M,E_M) obtained from (6) is called *the associated (a,b)-basic pair*. An (a,b)-fundamental triplet (X,E,Δ) is called a *normalized (a,b)-fundamental triplet* if for any $t \geq 2$ with $t \mid a$ and $t \mid b$ there exists an irreducible component $E_0 \leq E$ such that $\mathrm{coeff}_{E_0} E$ is not divisible by t.

Lemma 3.12. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$ and let (X,E,Δ) be an (a,b)-fundamental triplet. Then the triplet (X,E,Δ) is a normalized (a,b)-fundamental triplet if and only if the associated (a,b)-basic pair is a normalized (a,b)-basic pair.*

Proof. Let (M,E_M) be the associated (a,b)-basic pair. Assume that there exists $t \geq 2$ with $a = ta'$, $b = tb'$ (a', $b' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) such that $E' := (1/t)E$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on X. Then $E_M = (tE')_M^{\Delta, t(a'-b')} = t({E'}_M^{\Delta,a'-b'})$. We note that ${E'}_M^{\Delta,a'-b'}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on M. Thus (M,E_M) is not a normalized (a,b)-basic pair. The converse is obvious. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, let (X,E,Δ) be an (a,b)-fundamental triplet, let L be the fundamental divisor of (X,E,Δ) and let $\phi\colon M \to X$ be the elimination of Δ. Then we have the following properties:*

1. *The divisor L is nef and big, the divisor $K_X + L$ is nef and $(K_X + L \cdot L) > 0$. Moreover, the divisor $L_M := L_M^{\Delta,1}$ is the fundamental divisor of the associated (a,b)-basic pair.*
2. *For any point $P \in \Delta$, we have $\mathrm{mult}_P E \geq a - b$.*
3. *We have $(a-b)\deg \Delta = (L \cdot E)$.*
4. *For any nonsingular irreducible component $E_0 \leq E$, we have $(L \cdot E_0) = \deg(\Delta \cap E_0)$.*
5. *X is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$. Moreover, the intersection number of E and l is positive; where l is a line (if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$), a fiber (if $X \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$).*
6. *Let (X,E',Δ) be another (a,b)-fundamental triplet with $E \sim E'$. Then $E = E'$ holds.*

Proof. (1) Let $E_M := E_M^{\Delta,a-b}$. Then we have $-aK_M - E_M \sim \phi^*(-aK_X - E) - bK_{M/X} \sim bL_M$. We have $K_M + L_M = \phi^*(K_X + L)$. Thus $K_X + L$ is nef and $(K_X + L \cdot L) > 0$. Since $L_M = \phi^* L - K_{M/X}$ is nef and big (see Proposition 3.7), L is also nef and big.

(2) If $\mathrm{mult}_P E < a - b$, then E_M is not effective. This is a contradiction. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P E \geq a - b$ for any $P \in \Delta$.

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned}(L \cdot E) &= (L_M \cdot E_M + (a-b)K_{M/X}) = (a-b)(L_M \cdot K_{M/X}) \\ &= (a-b)(\phi^* L - K_{M/X} \cdot K_{M/X}) \\ &= -(a-b)(K_{M/X}^2) = (a-b)\deg \Delta\end{aligned}$$

by [Nak07, Lemma 2.7] and Definition 3.6 (4).

(4) Let $E_{0,M}$ be the strict transform of E_0 on M. Then we have

$$0 = (L_M \cdot E_{0,M}) = (\phi^* L - K_{M/X} \cdot E_{0,M}) = (L \cdot E_0) - \deg(\Delta \cap E_0).$$

(5) The divisor $aK_X + bL \sim -E$ is not nef since E is nonzero effective. Moreover, for any (-1)-curve γ on X, $(aK_X + bL \cdot \gamma) \geq 0$ holds. Thus $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$ and the intersection number of $aK_X + bL$ and the corresponding extremal ray is negative by [Mor82, Theorem 2.1].

(6) Since the pair (M, E_M) is an (a,b)-basic pair, there exists a projective and birational morphism $\alpha\colon M \to S$ such that $\alpha_* E_M = 0$ by Proposition 3.7 (2). Thus $h^0(M, E_M) = 1$. Hence E_M is uniquely determined by X, L and Δ. Therefore $E = E'$ holds since $\phi_* E_M = E$. □

Proposition 3.14. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, let (M, E) be an (a,b)-basic pair and let L be the fundamental divisor of (M, E). Then there exists a projective and birational morphism $\phi\colon M \to X$ and a nonempty zero-dimensional subscheme Δ of X such that the triplet $(X, \phi_* E, \Delta)$ is an (a,b)-fundamental triplet such that the associated (a,b)-basic pair is equal to (M, E). Moreover, the fundamental divisor of $(X, \phi_* E, \Delta)$ is the divisor $\phi_* L$.*

Proof. Step 1. We recall that M is a Mori dream space by Lemma 3.8 (3). Thus we can run $(-E)$-minimal model program

$$\phi\colon M = M_0 \to M_1 \to \cdots \to M_k = X$$

and this minimal model program induces a Mori fiber space since E is a nonzero effective divisor. In particular, $\phi_* E$ is a nonzero effective divisor. This minimal model program is also a $(aK_M + bL)$-minimal model program. Thus each step $M_i \to M_{i+1}$ is the contraction of a (-1)-curve γ_i. Moreover, $(L_i \cdot \gamma_i) = 1$, where L_i is the push-forward of L on M_i. Indeed, we have $(aK_{M_i} + bL_i \cdot \gamma_i) = -a + b(L_i \cdot \gamma_i) < 0$, $a \leq 2b$ and $K_{M_i} + L_i$ is nef. Therefore we have $K_M + L = \phi^*(K_X + \phi_* L)$. We may assume that $(\phi_* E \cdot \gamma) \leq 0$ for any (-1)-curve γ. Clearly, the morphism ϕ is not an isomorphism since M is isomorphic to neither $\mathbb{P}^2$ nor $\mathbb{F}_n$. The anti-canonical divisor $-K_M$ is ϕ-nef. Thus there exists a nonempty zero-dimensional subscheme Δ on X such that ϕ is the elimination of Δ by Proposition 2.4 (2). By construction, $L = (\phi_* L)^{\Delta,1}$, $E = (\phi_* E)^{\Delta, a-b}$ and $b(\phi_* L) \sim -aK_X - \phi_* E$.

Step 2. From now on, we assume that $K_X + \phi_* L$ is not big and $X = \mathbb{F}_n$. In this case, the divisor $K_X + \phi_* L$ is π-trivial, where $\pi\colon \mathbb{F}_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the fibration. (If $n = 0$, then we may have to change the fibration structure $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$.) We repeat the same argument in [Nak07, Proposition 4.4].

(7i) Assume that $\Delta \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Let σ_M be the strict transform of σ on M. By [Nak07, Lemma 4.5], there exists a birational morphism $\phi'\colon M \to X' = \mathbb{F}_{n'}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $n' := -(\sigma_M^2) = n + \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) > n$ such that σ_M is the total transform of the minimal section σ' of $X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$. By Proposition 2.4 (2), there exists a nonempty zero-dimensional subscheme Δ' of X' with $\Delta' \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$ such that ϕ' is the elimination of Δ'. Thus the condition (7i) is satisfied.

(7ii) Assume that $n > 0$, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ and there exists a section $D_0 \leq \phi_* E$ with $D \neq \sigma$ such that $(D_0^2) + n < \deg(\Delta \cap D_0)$ or $(D_0^2) + n = \deg(\Delta \cap D_0)$ and $\operatorname{coeff}_\sigma \phi_* E < \operatorname{coeff}_{D_0} \phi_* E$ holds. Let $n' (\geq n)$ be the maximum of $\deg(\Delta \cap D_0) - (D_0^2)$ such that $D_0 \leq \phi_* E$ is a section. Let c be the maximum of $\operatorname{coeff}_{D_0} \phi_* E$ such that $\deg(\Delta \cap D_0) - (D_0^2) = n'$ holds, where $D_0 \leq \phi_* E$ is a section. Pick arbitrary section $D \leq \phi_* E$ such that $\deg(\Delta \cap D) - (D^2) = n'$ and $c = \operatorname{coeff}_D \phi_* E$. By [Nak07, Lemma 4.5], there exists a birational morphism $\phi'\colon M \to X' = \mathbb{F}_{n'}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that D_M is the total transform of the minimal section σ' of $X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$, where D_M is the strict transform of D on M. Then there exists a nonempty zero-dimensional subscheme Δ' of X' with $\Delta' \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$ such that ϕ' is the elimination of Δ'. Take an arbitrary section $D_1 \leq \phi_* E$ with $D \neq D_1$. Then the strict transform $D_{1,M}$ on M satisfies that $-(D_{1,M}^2) = \deg(\Delta \cap D_1) - (D_1^2) \leq n'$. Then $D_1' := \phi'_* D_{1,M}$ satisfies that $-(D_{1,M}^2) = \deg(\Delta' \cap D_1') - (D_1'^2) \leq n'$. Moreover, if $\deg(\Delta' \cap D_1') - (D_1'^2) = n'$, then $c = \operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma'} \phi'_* E \geq \operatorname{coeff}_{D_1'} \phi'_* E$ by construction. Thus the condition (7ii) is satisfied. □

Lemma 3.15. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. Assume that a triplet (X, E, Δ) satisfies the following:*

1. *X is a nonsingular projective rational surface.*
2. *Δ is a zero-dimensional subscheme on X which satisfies the $(\nu 1)$-condition and $\deg \Delta \geq 2$.*
3. *E is a nonzero effective divisor on X such that $|E|$ is simple normal crossing and $\operatorname{coeff} E \subset \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$.*
4. *There exists a divisor L on X such that $bL \sim -aK_X - E$ holds.*
5. *The divisor $K_X + L$ is nef and $(K_X + L \cdot L) > 0$ holds.*
6. *For any irreducible component $E_1 \leq E$, $(L \cdot E_1) = \deg(\Delta \cap E_1)$.*
7. *Take any point $P \in \Delta$.*

(i) *Assume that there is a unique component* $E_1 \leq E$ *which meets* P. *Let* $m := \operatorname{coeff}_{E_1} E$, $k := \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ *and* $l := \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap E_1)$. *Then* $ml = (a-b)k$ *and* $(a-b)(k-l) < a$.

(ii) *Assume that there is exactly two components* E_1, $E_2 \leq E$ *which meet* P. *Let* $m_j := \operatorname{coeff}_{E_j} E$, $k := \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ *and* $l_j := \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap E_j)$. *We may assume that* $l_1 = 1$. *Then* $m_1 l_1 + m_2 + l_2 = (a-b)k$ *and* $(a-b)(k-l_2) < a$.

Let $\phi\colon M \to X$ *be the elimination of* Δ *and let* $E_M := E_M^{\Delta, a-b}$. *Then the pair* (M, E_M) *is an* (a,b)*-basic pair.*

Proof. By the condition (2), $M \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{F}_n$. Let $L_M := L_M^{\Delta,1}$. By the conditions (7), (3) and (6), E_M is effective, $\operatorname{coeff} E_M \subset \{1, \ldots, a-1\}$ and $(L_M \cdot E_1) = 0$ for any irreducible component $E_1 \leq E_M$ (see Examples 2.5 and 2.6). Since $K_M + L_M = \phi^*(K_X + L)$, $K_M + L_M$ is nef and $(K_M + L_M \cdot L_M) > 0$. Thus (M, E_M) is an (a,b)-basic pair. □

4. Classification of (a,b)-fundamental triplets

In this section, we classify normalized (a,b)-fundamental triplets with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. The case $(a,b) = (2,1)$ is considered by Nakayama [Nak07]. Thus we are mainly interested in the case $(a,b) \neq (2,1)$. The main theorems are the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let* a, b *be positive integers with* $1/2 < b/a < 1$. *The normalized* (a,b)*-fundamental triplets* (X, E, Δ) *are classified by the types defined as follows:*

The case $X = \mathbb{P}^2$:

[(3,2),1]$_0$: $(a,b) = (3,2)$, $E = l$; l *is a line,* $\Delta \subset l$ *with* $\deg \Delta = 4$.

[(11,7),5]$_0$: $(a,b) = (11,7)$, $E = 5l$; l *is a line,* $|\Delta| = \{P\}$ *with* $\deg \Delta = 5$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 4$.

[(5,3),3]$_0$(1): $(a,b) = (5,3)$, $E = 3l$; l *is a line,* $|\Delta| = \{P\}$ *with* $\deg \Delta = 6$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 4$.

[(5,3),3]$_0$(2): $(a,b) = (5,3)$, $E = 3l$; l *is a line,* $|\Delta| = \{P_1, P_2\}$ *with* $\operatorname{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 3$, $\operatorname{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

[(9,5),7]$_{\times 43}$: $(a,b) = (9,5)$, $E = 4l_1 + 3l_2$; l_i *are distinct lines,* $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 4$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *and* $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_2) = 4$ *for* $P = l_1 \cap l_2$.

The case $X = \mathbb{F}_n$:

[(5,3),2;1,2]$_1$: $(a,b) = (5,3)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_2$, $E = \sigma + 2l$, $\deg \Delta = 3$, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ *and* $\Delta \subset l$.

[(7,4),2;2,4]$_1$: $(a,b)=(7,4)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_2$, $E=2\sigma+4l$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=4$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=3$.

[(13,7),2;5,10]$_1$: $(a,b)=(13,7)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_2$, $E=5\sigma+10l$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=5$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=3$.

[(21,11),2;9,7]$_1$: $(a,b)=(21,11)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_2$, $E=9\sigma+7l$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $P=\sigma\cap l$ *and* $\deg\Delta=\deg(\Delta\cap l)=3$.

[(2n-1,n+1),n;2(n-2),n-2]$_1$: $n\geq 3$, $3\nmid n-2$, $(a,b)=(2n-1,n+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(2\sigma+l)$, $\deg\Delta=2$, $\Delta\cap\sigma=\emptyset$ *and* $\Delta\subset l$.

[(2m+1,m+1),3m+2;2m,m]$_1$: $m\geq 1$, $(a,b)=(2m+1,m+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_{3m+2}$, $E=m(2\sigma+l)$, $\deg\Delta=2$, $\Delta\cap\sigma=\emptyset$ *and* $\Delta\subset l$.

[(4n-3,2n+1),n;4(n-2),3(n-2)]$_1$: $n\geq 3$, $5\nmid n-2$, $(a,b)=(4n-3,2n+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(4\sigma+3l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=3$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=2$.

[(4m+1,2m+1),5m+2;4m,3m]$_1$: $m\geq 1$, $(a,b)=(4m+1,2m+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_{5m+2}$, $E=m(4\sigma+3l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=3$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=2$.

[(2n-2,n),n;2(n-2),2(n-2)]$_{11}$: $n\geq 3$, $2\nmid n$, $(a,b)=(2n-2,n)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(2\sigma+l_1+l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\deg\Delta=4$, $\Delta\cap\sigma=\emptyset$, $\Delta\subset l_1\cup l_2$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l_i)=2$ $(i=1,2)$.

[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]$_{11}$: $m\geq 1$, $(a,b)=(2m+1,m+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_{2m+2}$, $E=m(2\sigma+l_1+l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\deg\Delta=4$, $\Delta\cap\sigma=\emptyset$, $\Delta\subset l_1\cup l_2$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l_i)=2$ $(i=1,2)$.

[(2n-2,n),n;2(n-2),2(n-2)]$_1$(1): $n\geq 3$, $2\nmid n$, $(a,b)=(2n-2,n)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(2\sigma+2l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=4$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=2$.

[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]$_1$(1): $m\geq 1$, $(a,b)=(2m+1,m+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_{2m+2}$, $E=m(2\sigma+2l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P\}$ *with* $\deg\Delta=4$, $P\notin\sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=2$.

[(2n-2,n),n;2(n-2),2(n-2)]$_1$(2): $n\geq 3$, $2\nmid n$, $(a,b)=(2n-2,n)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(2\sigma+2l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P_1,\ P_2\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}\Delta=2$, $P_i\notin\sigma$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l)=1$ $(i=1,\ 2)$.

[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]$_1$(2): $m\geq 1$, $(a,b)=(2m+1,m+1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_{2m+2}$, $E=m(2\sigma+2l)$, $|\Delta|=\{P_1,\ P_2\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}\Delta=2$, $P_i\notin\sigma$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l)=1$ $(i=1,\ 2)$.

[(4n-5,2n-1),n;4(n-2),5(n-2)]$_{32}$: $n\geq 3$, $3\nmid n-2$, $(a,b)=(4n-5,2n-1)$, $X=\mathbb{F}_n$, $E=(n-2)(4\sigma+3l_1+2l_2)$, ($l_1$, l_2 : *distinct*

fibers), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 5$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = 3$.

[(4m+1,2m+1),3m+2;4m,5m]$_{32}$: $m \geq 1$, $(a, b) = (4m + 1, 2m + 1)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_{3m+2}$, $E = m(4\sigma + 3l_1 + 2l_2)$, ($l_1$, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 5$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = 3$.

[(7,5),3;4,5]$_1$: $(a, b) = (7, 5)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_3$, $E = 4\sigma + 5l$, $|\Delta| = \{P\}$ *with* $\deg \Delta = 5$, $P \notin \sigma$ *and* $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 2$.

[(2n-3,n-1),n;2(n-2),3(n-2)]$_{111}$: $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (2n - 3, n - 1)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n-2)(2\sigma+l_1+l_2+l_3)$ (l_1, l_2, l_3 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 6$ *and* $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$.

[(2n-3,n-1),n;2(n-2),3(n-2)]$_{21}$(1): $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (2n - 3, n - 1)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n - 2)(2\sigma + 2l_1 + l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 6$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_j) = 2$ $(j = 1, 2)$, $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P\}$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = 4$.

[(2n-3,n-1),n;2(n-2),3(n-2)]$_{21}$(2): $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (2n - 3, n - 1)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n - 2)(2\sigma + 2l_1 + l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 6$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_j) = 2$ $(j = 1, 2)$, $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1, P_2\}$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

[(4n-6,2n-2),n;4(n-2),6(n-2)]$_{11}$: $n \geq 3$, $2 \nmid n$, $(a, b) = (4n - 6, 2n - 2)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n-2)(4\sigma + 3l_1 + 3l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 6$, $|\Delta| \cap l_i = \{P_i\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 3$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

[(4m+1,2m+1),2m+2;4m,6m]$_{11}$: $m \geq 1$, $(a, b) = (4m + 1, 2m + 1)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_{2m+2}$, $E = m(4\sigma + 3l_1 + 3l_2)$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 6$, $|\Delta| \cap l_i = \{P_i\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 3$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

[(3,2),3;2,3]$_{1\infty}$: $(a, b) = (3, 2)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_3$, $E = \sigma + \sigma_\infty$, $\deg \Delta = 6$ *and* $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$.

[(4n-7,2n-3),n;4(n-2),7(n-2)]$_{322}$: $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (4n - 7, 2n - 3)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n-2)(4\sigma+3l_1+2l_2+2l_3)$, ($l_1$, l_2, l_3 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ *and* $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = 3$.

[(4n-7,2n-3),n;4(n-2),7(n-2)]$_{43}$(1): $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (4n - 7, 2n - 3)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n - 2)(4\sigma + 4l_1 + 3l_2)$, ($l_1$, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$, $|\Delta| \cap l_i = \{P_i\}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = 3$.

[(4n-7,2n-3),n;4(n-2),7(n-2)]$_{43}$(2): $n \geq 3$, $(a, b) = (4n - 7, 2n - 3)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_n$, $E = (n - 2)(4\sigma + 4l_1 + 3l_2)$, ($l_1$, l_2 : *distinct*

fibers), $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_{11}, P_{12}\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_{1j}} \Delta = 2$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *and* $|\Delta| \cap l_2 = \{P_2\}$ *with* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = 3$.

$[\mathbf{(15,9),3;12,21}]_{5\infty 1}$**:** $(a, b) = (15, 9)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_3$, $E = 7\sigma + 5\sigma_\infty + 6l$, $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$ *for* $P = \sigma_\infty \cap l$.

$[\mathbf{(5,3),3;4,7}]_{2\infty 1}$**:** $(a, b) = (5, 3)$, $X = \mathbb{F}_3$, $E = 2\sigma + 2\sigma_\infty + l$, $\deg \Delta = 7$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 2$, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2$ *for* $P = \sigma_\infty \cap l$.

The symbol $[\boldsymbol{(a,b),e}]$ *indicates that the corresponding triplet is a normalized* (a, b)*-fundamental triplet and* $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $E \sim el$ (l *is a line*). *The subscripts* $_0$, $_{\times st}$ *have the following meaning:*

$_0$: $|E|$ *is a line.*
$_{\times st}$: $|E|$ *is the union of two lines* l_1 *and* l_2 *and the ratio* $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_1} E : \mathrm{coeff}_{l_2} E$ *is equal to* $s : t$.

The symbol $[\boldsymbol{(a,b),n;d,e}]$ *indicates that the corresponding triplet is a normalized* (a, b)*-fundamental triplet and* $X \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$, $E \sim d\sigma + el$. *The subscripts* $_1$, $_{st}$, $_{stu}$, $_{r\infty}$, $_{r\infty 1}$, $_{r\infty st}$ *have the following meaning:*

$_1$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ *and* l.
$_{st}$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ *and two fibers* l_1 *and* l_2. *The ratio* $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_1} E : \mathrm{coeff}_{l_2} E$ *is equal to* $s : t$.
$_{stu}$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ *and three fibers* l_1, l_2 *and* l_3. *The ratio* $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_1} E : \mathrm{coeff}_{l_2} E : \mathrm{coeff}_{l_3} E$ *is equal to* $s : t : u$.
$_{r\infty}$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ *and* σ_∞ *such that* $\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_\infty} E = r$.
$_{r\infty 1}$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ, σ_∞ *and* l *such that* $\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_\infty} E = r$.
$_{r\infty st}$: $|E|$ *is the union of* σ, σ_∞ *and two fibers* l_1 *and* l_2 *such that* $\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_\infty} E = r$. *The ratio* $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_1} E : \mathrm{coeff}_{l_2} E$ *is equal to* $s : t$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* a, b *be positive integers with* $1/2 = b/a$ *and* $b > 1$. *The normalized* (a, b)*-fundamental triplets* (X, E, Δ) *are classified by the types defined as follows:*

The case $X = \mathbb{P}^2$:

$[\mathbf{(6,3),6}]_{\times 21}$**:** $(a, b) = (6, 3)$, $E = 4l_1 + 2l_2$; l_i *are distinct lines,* $\deg \Delta = 8$, $|\Delta| = \{P, P_1\}$, *where* $P = l_1 \cap l_2$, $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_2) = 4$, $P_1 \in l_1 \setminus \{P\}$, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) = 3$.

The case $X = \mathbb{F}_3$:

$[\mathbf{(6,3),3;6,12}]_{2\infty 11}$**:** $(a, b) = (6, 3)$, $E = 4\sigma + 2\sigma_\infty + 3l_1 + 3l_2$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\deg \Delta = 8$, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$,

$\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 3$ *for* $P_i = \sigma_\infty \cap l_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

$[\mathbf{(10,5),3;10,20}]_{4\infty 53}$**:** $(a, b) = (10, 5)$, $E = 6\sigma + 4\sigma_\infty + 5l_1 + 3l_2$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\deg \Delta = 8$, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$, $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 5$, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap l_2) = 2$ *for* $P_i = \sigma_\infty \cap l_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

$[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$**:** $(a, b) = (4, 2)$, $E = 2\sigma + 2\sigma_\infty + l_1 + l_2$ (l_1, l_2 : *distinct fibers*), $\deg \Delta = 8$, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$, $|\Delta| \cap l_i = \{P_i\}$ *with* $P_i = \sigma_\infty \cap l_i$ *and* $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

The meanings of the symbols of the types are same as the meaning given in Theorem 4.1.

As immediate corollaries, we have the following results.

Corollary 4.3. *We have*

$$\mathrm{FS}_2 \cap (1/2, 1) = \left\{ \frac{2s+t}{4s+t} \;\middle|\; s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ t \in \{4, 5, 6\} \right\},$$

where FS_2 *is the set of all the fractional indices of log del Pezzo surfaces.*

Corollary 4.4. (1) *Consider the log del Pezzo surface S corresponding to a fundamental triplet of type*
$[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}6,2n\text{-}2),n;4(n\text{-}2),6(n\text{-}2)}]_{11}$,
$[\mathbf{(15,9),3;12,21}]_{5\infty 1}$, $[\mathbf{(6,3),6}]_{\times 21}$, $[\mathbf{(6,3),3;6,12}]_{2\infty 11}$,
$[\mathbf{(10,5),3;10,20}]_{4\infty 53}$ or $[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$.
Let a_0, b_0 be the positive integers such that $r(S) = b_0/a_0$ and $\gcd(a_0, b_0) = 1$. Then $-a_0 K_S \not\sim b_0 L_S$.

(2) Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. Take an arbitrary log del Pezzo surface S with $r(S) = b/a$. Then one of $-2aK_S$, $-3aK_S$ or $-5aK_S$ is a Cartier divisor.

We start to prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. We remark that any of the triplet in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 is a normalized (a, b)-fundamental triplet by Lemma 3.15. Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$. Let (X, E, Δ) be an (a, b)-fundamental triplet, L be the fundamental divisor of (X, E, Δ), $\phi\colon M \to X$ be the elimination of Δ, $E_M := E_M^{\Delta, a-b}$, $L_M := L_M^{\Delta,1}$ and let $k := \deg \Delta$. We may assume that if $a = 2b$ then $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 3.12 and [Nak07]. By Lemma 3.15 (1), X is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$.

4.1. **The case $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.** We consider the case $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Let e and h be the positive integers determined by $E \sim el$ and $L \sim hl$, where l is a line on X. Then we have $e = 3a - hb$, $h \geq 4$ and $eh = (a - b)k$. Since $e \geq 1$ and $1/2 \leq b/a$, we have $h < 6$. Thus $h = 4$ or 5.

Claim 4.5. (1) *The triplet* $(h, k, b/a)$ *is one of* $(5, 5, 1/2)$, $(4, 4, 2/3)$, $(4, 5, 7/11)$, $(4, 6, 3/5)$, $(4, 7, 5/9)$ *or* $(4, 8, 1/2)$.

(2) *Take any irreducible curve* C *on* X *such that* $(L_M \cdot \phi_*^{-1}C) = 0$. (*We note that any component of* E *satisfies this condition.*) *If* $(h, k, b/a) = (4, 8, 1/2)$, *then* C *is either a conic or a line. If* $(h, k, b/a) \neq (4, 8, 1/2)$, *then* C *is a line.*

Proof. Let m be the degree of C on $\mathbb{P}^2$. C is a rational curve by Proposition 3.7 and [KM98, §4]. Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ be the multiplicity sequence of C with respects to ϕ. By Proposition 2.9, we have the following:

(i) $0 \leq m_i \leq m$ for any i.
(ii) $hm = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$.
(iii) $m^2 - 3m + 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i(m_i - 1)$.

By (i) and (ii), we have $h \leq k$. Since $(3a - hb)h = (a - b)k$, we have $2a \geq (h-1)b$. Thus if $h = 5$, then $b/a = 1/2$; if $h = 4$, then $b/a \leq 2/3$.

Assume that $h = 5$. Then $b/a = 1/2$ and $k = 5$ holds. By (ii), $m = m_i$ for any i. Thus $(m-1)(m-2) = 5m(m-1)$ by (iii). Hence $m = 1$ holds.

Assume that $h = 4$. We know that $b/a \in [1/2, 2/3]$. Since $k = 4(3a - 4b)/(a - b)$, we have $4 \leq k \leq 8$. On the other hand, we have

$$m^2 + m + 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i^2 \geq k\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i/k\Big)^2 = 16m^2/k.$$

Thus we have the inequality $f(m) \leq 0$, where $f(x) := (16 - k)x^2 - kx - 2k$. If $m = 1$, then $4 \leq k \leq 8$ since $f(1) = 16 - 4k$. If $m = 2$, then $k = 8$ since $f(2) = 64 - 8k$. The value m cannot be bigger than 2 since $f'(x) > 0$ for any $x \geq 1$ (note that $k/(2(16 - k)) \leq 1/2$). Therefore the assertion holds since $b/a = (12 - k)/(16 - k)$ if $h = 4$. □

Claim 4.6. *Assume that there exist two distinct lines* l_1, $l_2 \leq E$. *We also assume that* E *contains no nonsingular conic. Then* $|E|$ *consists of exactly two components* l_1, l_2. *Moreover, the triplet* $(h, k, b/a)$ *is either* $(4, 7, 5/9)$ *or* $(4, 8, 1/2)$.

Proof. Let $P := l_1 \cap l_2$. We can assume that $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_1) \leq 1$ by Example 2.6. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = (L \cdot l_i) = h$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $k \geq 2h - 1$. Thus $(h, k, b/a)$ is either $(4, 7, 5/9)$ or $(4, 8, 1/2)$. Using the same argument, if there exists another line $l_3 \leq E$, then $k \geq 3h - 3$ holds. This leads to a contradiction. Thus $|E| = l_1 \cup l_2$. □

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(5,5,1/2)$**:** In this case, we have $E=bl$ for some line l by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b)\notin\mathbb{Z}$.

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(4,4,2/3)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a=3t$, $b=2t$ and $E=tl$ for some line l by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. Since $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=4$, we have $\Delta\subset l$. If $t=1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(3,2),1}]_0$.

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(4,5,7/11)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a=11t$, $b=7t$ and $E=5tl$ for some line l by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. We note that $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=4$. For any $P\in\Delta$, we have $5t\,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l)=4t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l)=4$ and $\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta=5$ hold. If $t=1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(11,7),5}]_0$.

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(4,6,3/5)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a=5t$, $b=3t$ and $E=3tl$ for some line l by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. We note that $\deg(\Delta\cap l)=4$. For any $P\in\Delta$, we have $3t\,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l)=2t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus the pair $(\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l))$ is either $(6,4)$ or $(3,2)$. If $|\Delta|=\{P\}$, then $(\deg\Delta,\deg(\Delta\cap l))=(6,4)$. If $t=1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(5,3),3}]_0\mathbf{(1)}$. If $|\Delta|=\{P_1,P_2\}$, then $(\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}\Delta,\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l))=(3,2)$. If $t=1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(5,3),3}]_0\mathbf{(2)}$.

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(4,7,5/9)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a=9t$, $b=5t$ and $E\sim 7tl$. Assume that $E=7tl$ for some line l. Then for any $P\in\Delta$ we have $7t\,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l)=4t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l)=4$ and $\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta=7$ hold. However, in this case $\mathrm{coeff}\,E_M\notin\{1,\dots,a-1\}$ by Example 2.5, a contradiction. Thus there exist distinct lines l_1, l_2 and positive integers c_1, c_2 such that $E=c_1l_1+c_2l_2$ (hence $c_1+c_2=7t$) by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. Let $P:=l_1\cap l_2$. If $P\notin\Delta$, then $7=k\geq\sum_{i=1,2}\deg(\Delta\cap l_i)=8$, a contradiction. Hence $P\in\Delta$. We can assume that $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l_1)=1$. We have $4t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta=c_1+c_2\,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l_2)$ by Example 2.6. Since $3=\deg(\Delta\cap l_1\setminus\{P\})\leq\deg(\Delta\setminus l_2)\leq 7-4=3$, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}\Delta=\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta\cap l_1)$ holds for any $P_1\in\Delta\cap l_1$ such that $P_1\neq P$. Thus $(c_1,c_2)=(4t,3t)$ by Example 2.5. In particular, $\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta=\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l_2)=4$. If $t=1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(9,5),7}]_{\times 43}$.

The case $(h,k,b/a)=(4,8,1/2)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a=2t$, $b=t$ and $E\sim 2tl$. Assume that there exists a nonsingular conic $C\leq E$. Then $\Delta\subset C$ since $\deg(\Delta\cap C)=8$. Conversely, for any nonsingular conic C and any subscheme $\Delta\subset C$ with $\deg\Delta=8$, then the triplet (X,tC,Δ) is a $(2t,t)$-fundamental

triplet. Thus E must be equal to tC by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $E = c_1 l_1 + c_2 l_2$ (c_1, c_2 positive integers with $t \nmid c_1, c_2$ and $c_1 + c_2 = 2t$) such that l_1, l_2 are distinct lines by Claims 4.5 and 4.6. We may assume that $2t > c_1 > t > c_2 > 0$. Let $P := l_1 \cap l_2$. Assume that $P \notin \Delta$. Then $8 = \deg \Delta \geq \sum_{i=1,2} \deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 8$. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) = \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta$ holds for any $P_1 \in \Delta \setminus \{P\}$. Hence $c_1 = t$ holds by Example 2.5, a contradiction. Therefore $P \in \Delta$. Since $c_2 < t = a - b$, we have $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_1) = 1$. (Indeed, $|\Delta| \cap l_2 = \{P\}$ holds.) Moreover, $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_2) = 4$ holds. Thus $t \,\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = c_1 + 4c_2$ holds by Example 2.6. On the other hand, for any point $P_1 \in \Delta \setminus \{P\}$, we have $c_1 \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) = t \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Since $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta \leq k - \deg(\Delta \cap l_2) = 4$, we have $(\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1)) = (4, 3)$ and $(c_1, c_2) = (4t/3, 2t/3)$. If $t = 3$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(6,3),6}]_{\times 21}$.

4.2. **The case $X = \mathbb{F}_n$ with $K_X + L$ big.** We consider the case $X = \mathbb{F}_n$ such that the divisor $K_X + L$ is big.

Let e_0, e, h_0, h be the nonnegative integers determined by $E \sim e_0\sigma + el$ and $L \sim h_0\sigma + hl$. We have $e_0 = 2a - h_0 b$ and $e = (n+2)a - hb$. Since $e_0 \geq 1$, we have $h_0 \leq 3$ and $b/a < 2/3$. Moreover, the divisor $K_X + L \sim (h_0 - 2)\sigma + (h - (n+2))l$ is nef and big. Thus $h_0 = 3$ and $\max\{3n, 2n+2\} \leq h \leq (n+2)a/b$ holds. Since $k(a-b) = (L \cdot E) = (-3n + 6 + 2h)a + (9n - 6h)b$, we have the following:

$$\frac{b}{a} = \frac{2h - 3n + 6 - k}{6h - 9n - k}.$$

Claim 4.7. (1) *For any point $P \in \Delta$, we have $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E \geq 2b - a$, where l_P is the fiber passing through P. (In particular, if $b/a > 1/2$, then $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E > 0$ holds.)*

(2) *For any fiber $l \leq E$, we have $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \geq a/3$.*

(3) *If $b/a > 1/2$, then $b/a \leq (3n+5)/(3h)$ holds.*

Proof. (1) By Proposition 2.11, $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E \geq (2a - 3b) - (a - b) = a - 2b$.

(2) Let l_M be the strict transform of l on M. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = (L \cdot l) = 3$, we have $(l_M^2) = -3$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -a &= (-aK_M \cdot l_M) = (-aK_M - bL_M \cdot l_M) \\ &= (E_M \cdot l_M) \geq (-3)\,\mathrm{coeff}_l E. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Follows from (1), (2) and the fact $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \leq e = (n+2)a - hb$ for any fiber $l \leq E$. □

Claim 4.8. (1) *If $b/a > 1/2$, then the tetrad $(n, h, b/a, k)$ is one of the following:*

$$(2, 6, 3/5, 3), (2, 6, 4/7, 4), (2, 6, 7/13, 5), (2, 7, 11/21, 3).$$

(2) *If $b/a = 1/2$, then the triplet (n, h, k) is one of the following:*

$$(0,3,6),(0,4,4),(1,5,5),(1,6,3),(2,6,6),$$
$$(2,7,4),(2,8,2),(3,9,3),(3,10,1).$$

Proof. We can show that $n \leq 3$. Indeed, if $n \geq 4$, then $n = 4$, $h = 12$ and $b/a = 1/2$ since $3n \leq h \leq (n+2)a/b \leq 2(n+2)$. Thus $k = 0$ holds since $b/a = (18-k)/(36-k)$. This leads to a contradiction.

The case $n = 0$: Since $K_X + L$ is big, we have $h \geq 3$. Moreover, $h \leq 4$ since $h \leq 2a/b \leq 4$. If $h = 4$, then $b/a = (14-k)/(24-k) = 1/2$. Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 4, 1/2, 4)$. If $h = 3$, then $b/a = (12-k)/(18-k) \leq 5/9$ by Claim 4.7 (3). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 3, 7/13, 5)$ or $(0, 3, 1/2, 6)$. Assume that $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 3, 7/13, 5)$. Then for any point $P \in \Delta$, $\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_P} E, \mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E > 0$ by Claim 4.7 (1), where σ_P (resp. l_P) is the minimal section (resp. fiber) passing through P. We can assume that $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_P) = 1$. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l_P) = 3$, there exists a point $Q \in \Delta \cap l_P \setminus \{P\}$. Then $\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_Q} E > 0$. However, $5 = k \geq \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_P) + \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_Q) = 6$, which leads to a contradiction. Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) \neq (0, 3, 7/13, 5)$.

The case $n = 1$: Since $(E \cdot \sigma) \leq 0$, we have $a \leq (h-3)b$. Hence $5 \leq h \leq 3a/b \leq 6$. If $h = 6$, then $b/a = (15-k)/(27-k) = 1/2$. Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 6, 1/2, 3)$. If $h = 5$, then $b/a = (13-k)/(21-k) \leq 8/15$ by Claim 4.7 (3). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 5, 9/17, 4)$ or $(1, 5, 1/2, 5)$. Assume that $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 5, 9/17, 4)$. Then there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 17t$, $b = 9t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 5l$ and $E \sim t(7\sigma + 6l)$. By Claim 4.7 (2), there exists a fiber l such that $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \geq 17t/3$. Thus $20t/3 \leq \mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E (\leq 7t)$. Let $P := \sigma \cap l$. Assume that there exists a point $P' \in \Delta \setminus \{P\}$. Then $l_{P'} \leq E$ by Claim 4.7 (1), where $l_{P'}$ is the fiber passing through P'. However, $4 = k \geq \deg(\Delta \cap l) + \deg(\Delta \cap l_{P'}) = 6$, a contradiction. Hence $2 = \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) = \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap \sigma)$ and $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 1$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \deg(\Delta \cap l) - \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = \sum_{Q \in \Delta \setminus \{P\}} \mathrm{mult}_Q(\Delta \cap l) \\ &\leq \sum_{Q \in \Delta \setminus \{P\}} \mathrm{mult}_Q \Delta = 4 - \mathrm{mult}_P \Delta \leq 4 - \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap \sigma) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta = 2$. Let $V \to X$ be the blowing up along Δ and $\Gamma \subset M$ be the strict transform of the exceptional curve of $V \to X$ over P. Then $\mathrm{coeff}_\Gamma E_M \geq \mathrm{coeff}_\Gamma E'^{\Delta, a-b}_M = 3t$, where $E' := (20t/3)\sigma + (17t/3)l$. However, Γ is a (-1)-curve, contrary to Lemma 3.8 (1). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) \neq (1, 5, 9/17, 4)$.

The case $n = 2$**:** We have $6 \leq h \leq 4a/b \leq 8$. If $h = 8$, then $b/a = (16-k)/(30-k) = 1/2$. Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 8, 1/2, 2)$. If $h = 7$, then $b/a = (14-k)/(24-k) \leq 11/21$ by Claim 4.7 (3). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 7, 11/21, 3)$ or $(2, 7, 1/2, 4)$. If $h = 6$, then $b/a = (12-k)/(18-k) \leq 11/18$ by Claim 4.7 (3). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 3/5, 3)$, $(2, 6, 4/7, 4)$, $(2, 6, 7/13, 5)$ or $(2, 6, 1/2, 6)$.

The case $n = 3$**:** We have $9 \leq h \leq 5a/b \leq 10$. If $h = 10$, then $b/a = (17-k)/(33-k) = 1/2$. Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 10, 1/2, 1)$. If $h = 9$, then $b/a = (15-k)/(27-k) \leq 14/27$ by Claim 4.7 (3). Thus $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 9, 1/2, 3)$. □

Claim 4.9. *Assume that there exists an irreducible curve C on X apart from σ, l such that $(L_M \cdot \phi_*^{-1}C) = 0$. Then $b/a = 1/2$ and the triplet (n, h, k) is one of $(0, 3, 6)$, $(1, 5, 5)$ or $(2, 6, 6)$. Moreover, $\Delta \subset C$ holds. If $(n, h, k) = (0, 3, 6)$, then $C \sim \sigma + l$. If $(n, h, k) = (1, 5, 5)$ or $(2, 6, 6)$, then $C = \sigma_\infty$.*

Proof. Take $m \geq 1$ and $u \geq 0$ such that $C \in |m\sigma + (nm + u)l|$. We note that C is a rational curve by Proposition 3.7 and [KM98, §4]. Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ be the multiplicity sequence of C with respects to ϕ. By Proposition 2.9, we have:

(i) $0 \leq m_i \leq m$ for any i.
(ii) $hm + 3u = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$.
(iii) $(m-1)(nm + 2u - 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i(m_i - 1)$.

By (i) and (ii), $k \geq h$ holds. Thus $b/a = 1/2$ and the triplet (n, h, k) is one of $(0, 3, 6)$, $(1, 5, 5)$ or $(2, 6, 6)$. Indeed, if $(n, h, k) = (0, 4, 4)$, then $u = 0$ and $m = 1$. This implies that $C = \sigma$, a contradiction.

If $k = h$, then $u = 0$ and $m_i = m$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $(m-1)(nm-2) = km(m-1)$ by (iii). Thus $m = 1$. This implies that $C = \sigma_\infty$. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap C) = k$, $\Delta \subset C$ holds.

If $k \neq h$, then $(n, h, k) = (0, 3, 6)$. We can assume that $u \geq m \geq 1$. Assume that $m \geq 2$. Then $6m \leq 3(m + u) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} m_i \leq 6m$. Thus $m = u$ and $2u - 2 = 6m$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $m = 1$. Then $u = 1$ since $3 + 3u = \sum_{i=1}^{6} m_i \leq 6$. This implies that $C \in |\sigma + l|$. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap C) = 6 = k$, $\Delta \subset C$ holds. □

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 3/5, 3)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 5t$, $b = 3t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 6l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + 2l)$. By Claim 4.9, there exists a fiber $l \leq E$. Moreover, such fiber is unique since $k = \deg(\Delta \cap l)$. Then $E = t(2\sigma + l)$. In particular, $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ and $\Delta \subset l$ hold. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(5,3),2;1,2}]_1$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 4/7, 4)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 7t$, $b = 4t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 6l$ and $E \sim 2t(\sigma + 2l)$. By Claim 4.9, there exists a fiber $l \leq E$. Moreover, such fiber is unique since $4 = k < 2\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 6$. Hence $E = 2t(\sigma + 2l)$. Moreover, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) = (L \cdot \sigma) = 0$. Thus $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Pick an arbitrary point $P \in \Delta$. Then $4t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 3t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $(\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l)) = (4, 3)$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(7,4),2;2,4}]_1$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 7/13, 5)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 13t$, $b = 7t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 6l$ and $E \sim 5t(\sigma+2l)$. By Claim 4.9, there exists a fiber $l \leq E$. Moreover, such fiber is unique since $4 = k < 2\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 6$. Hence $E = 5t(\sigma + 2l)$. Moreover, $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) = (L \cdot \sigma) = 0$. Thus $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Pick arbitrary point $P \in \Delta$. Then $10t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 6t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $(\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l)) = (5, 3)$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(13,7),2;5,10}]_1$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 7, 11/21, 3)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 21t$, $b = 11t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 7l$ and $E \sim t(9\sigma + 7l)$. By Claim 4.7 (2), there exists a fiber $l \leq E$ and $\operatorname{coeff}_l E \geq 7t$. Thus $E = t(9\sigma + 7l)$. Let $P := \sigma \cap l$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap \sigma) = \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) = 1$. Moreover, $10t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = 9t + 7t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l)$ by Example 2.6. Thus $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 3$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(21,11),2;9,7}]_1$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 3, 1/2, 6)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 3l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + l)$. Assume that there exists a component $C \leq E$ apart from σ, l. Then $C \in |\sigma + l|$ and $\Delta \subset C$ by Claim 4.9. Conversely, for any nonsingular $C \in |\sigma + l|$ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset C$ such that $\deg \Delta = 6$, the triplet (X, tC, Δ) is a $(2t, t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus E must be equal to tC by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\operatorname{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore any component of E is either a minimal section or a fiber. Assume that there exist distinct fibers l_1, $l_2 \leq E$. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 3$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\Delta \subset l_1 \cup l_2$. Let $\sigma \leq E$ be a minimal section. Let $P_i := \sigma \cap l_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Since $|\Delta| \cap \sigma \subset \{P_1, P_2\}$ and $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma) = 3$, we may assume that $\operatorname{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma) \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) = 1$, contradicts to the fact $\Delta \subset l_1 \cup l_2$. Therefore $E = t\sigma + tl$ for some minimal section σ and a fiber l. This contradicts to the assumption $\operatorname{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 3, 1/2, 6)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 4, 1/2, 4)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$ and $E = t\sigma$. However, this

contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (0, 4, 1/2, 4)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 5, 1/2, 5)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 5l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + l)$. Assume that there exists a component $C \leq E$ apart from σ, l. Then $C = \sigma_\infty$ and $\Delta \subset C$ by Claim 4.9. Conversely, for any section of infinity σ_∞ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$ such that $\deg \Delta = 5$, the triplet $(X, t\sigma_\infty, \Delta)$ is a $(2t, t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus E must be equal to $t\sigma_\infty$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore any component of E is either σ or a fiber. By Claim 4.7 (2), $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \geq 2t/3$ for any fiber $l \leq E$. Thus $E = t(\sigma + l)$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 5, 1/2, 5)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 6, 1/2, 3)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$ and $E = t\sigma$. However, this contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (1, 6, 1/2, 3)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 1/2, 6)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 6l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + 2l)$. Assume that there exists a component $C \leq E$ apart from σ, l. Then $C = \sigma_\infty$ and $\Delta \subset C$ by Claim 4.9. Conversely, for any section of infinity σ_∞ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$ such that $\deg \Delta = 6$, the triplet $(X, t\sigma_\infty, \Delta)$ is a $(2t, t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus E must be equal to $t\sigma_\infty$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we can write $E = t\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$, where c_i be positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^{j} = 2t$ and l_i be distinct fibers. Since $c_i < 2t$, we have $j \geq 2$. We note that $c_i \geq t$ for any i by Example 2.5 and the fact $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Thus $E = t(\sigma + l_1 + l_2)$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 6, 1/2, 6)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 7, 1/2, 4)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 7l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + l)$. Any component of E is either σ or a fiber by Claim 4.9. By Claim 4.7 (2), $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \geq 2t/3$ for any fiber $l \leq E$. Thus $E = t(\sigma + l)$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 7, 1/2, 4)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 8, 1/2, 2)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$ and $E = t\sigma$. However, this contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (2, 8, 1/2, 2)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 9, 1/2, 3)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 3\sigma + 9l$ and $E \sim t(\sigma + l)$. Any component of E is either σ or a fiber by Claim 4.9. By Claim 4.7 (2), $\mathrm{coeff}_l E \geq 2t/3$ for any fiber $l \leq E$. Thus $E = t(\sigma + l)$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 9, 1/2, 3)$.

The case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 10, 1/2, 1)$**:** In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$ and $E = t\sigma$. However, this contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n, h, b/a, k) = (3, 10, 1/2, 1)$.

4.3. **The case** $X = \mathbb{F}_n$ **with** $K_X + L$ **non-big.** We consider the case $X = \mathbb{F}_n$ such that the divisor $K_X + L$ is not big.

Since $K_X + L$ is nef, not linearly trivial and not big, $L \sim 2\sigma + hl$ for some $h \geq n + 3$. Since L is nef and big, we have $h \geq \max\{2n, n + 3\}$. We note that $E \sim 2(a - b)\sigma + ((n + 2)a - hb)l$.

Claim 4.10. *We have $h = 2n$, $n \geq 3$ and $b/a \leq (n + 1)/(2n - 1)$ unless $n = 1$, $h = 4$ and $b/a = 1/2$.*

Proof. We have the following:

$$n(2(a - b)) - ((n + 2)a - hb) \geq \begin{cases} -a + 2b \geq 0 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ b > 0 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ (n - 2)a > 0 & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\sigma \leq E$ unless $n = 1$, $h = 4$ and $b/a = 1/2$. If $\sigma \leq E$, then $h - 2n = (L \cdot E) = 0$. Thus $h = 2n$. Hence $n \geq 3$ since $h \geq n + 3$. Moreover, we have $a - b \leq \mathrm{mult}_P E \leq (n+2)a - 2nb$ for any point $P \in \Delta$ by Proposition 2.11. Therefore we have $b/a \leq (n + 1)/(2n - 1)$. □

We first consider the case $(n, h, b/a) = (1, 4, 1/2)$. In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 4l$ and $E \sim 2t(\sigma + l)$. Then we have $kt = (L \cdot E) = 8t$. Thus $k = 8$ holds. Since $\mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E < a = 2t$, there exists a component $C \leq E$ apart from σ, l. Define $m \geq 1$ and $u \geq 0$ such that $C \sim m\sigma + (m+u)l$. Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_8)$ be the multiplicity sequence of C with respects to ϕ. Then we have $0 \leq m_i \leq m$, $\sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i = (L \cdot C) = 4m + 2u$ and $(m - 1)(m + 2u - 2) = 2p_a(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i(m_i - 1)$ by Proposition 2.9. Then we have:

$$m^2 + (2u+1)m + 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i^2 \geq 8((4m + 2u)/8)^2 = (4m^2 + 4um + u^2)/2.$$

Thus $2m^2 - 2m + u^2 - 4 \leq 0$ holds. Therefore $(m, u) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)$ or $(2, 0)$. However, if $m = 1$, then C is a section. Hence $2 + 2u =$

$(C^2)+1 \geq \deg(\Delta \cap C) = 4+2u$ holds. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore $(m,u) = (2,0)$. In this case, C is nonsingular. Moreover, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i^2 = 8$. Thus $m_i = 1$ for any i. In particular, $\Delta \subset C$ holds. Conversely, for any nonsingular $C \in |2\sigma + 2l|$ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset C$ such that $\deg \Delta = 8$, the triplet (X, tC, Δ) is a $(2t,t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus E must be equal to $t\sigma_\infty$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is no such fundamental triplet for the case $(n,h,b/a) = (1,4,1/2)$.

From now on, we consider the case $h = 2n$, $n \geq 3$ and $b/a \leq (n+1)/(2n-1)$. Since $k(a-b) = (L \cdot E) = 2(n+2)a - 4nb$, we have

$$\frac{b}{a} = \frac{2n+4-k}{4n-k}.$$

There exists a positive rational number t with $t \cdot \gcd(4n-k, 2n+4-k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-k)t$, $b = (2n+4-k)t$ (thus $a-b = 2(n-2)t$), $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + kl)$. Since $1/2 \leq b/a \leq (n+1)/(2n-1)$, we have $2 \leq k \leq 8$.

Claim 4.11. (1) *We have the following:*
- (a) $\mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E \geq (n-2)t \cdot (4n-k)/n$.
- (b) $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E \geq \mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E - 2(n-2)t \geq (n-2)t \cdot (2n-k)/n$ *for any* $P \in \Delta$, *where* l_P *is the fiber passing through* P.

(2) *Assume that there exists an irreducible curve* C *on* X *apart from* σ, l *such that* $(L_M \cdot \phi_*^{-1}C) = 0$. *Then* $C = \sigma_\infty$ *and* $(n,k) = (3,6), (3,7), (3,8)$ *or* $(4,8)$ *unless* $C \sim \sigma + 4l$ *and* $(n,k) = (3,8)$.

Proof. (1) We have already seen that $\sigma \leq E$. Let σ_M be the strict transform of σ on M. Since $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, the curve σ_M satisfies that $(\sigma_M^2) = -n$. Thus we have the following:

$$(4n-k)(n-2)t = a(-K_M \cdot \sigma_M) = (E_M \cdot \sigma_M) \geq (-n)\,\mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E.$$

Hence (1a) holds. On the other hand, for any $P \in \Delta$, $\mathrm{mult}_P E = \mathrm{mult}_P(E - (\mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E)\sigma)$ since $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathrm{coeff}_{l_P} E \geq \mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E - 2(n-2)t$ by Proposition 2.11. Hence (1b) holds.

(2) We define $m \geq 1$ and $u \geq 0$ such that $C \sim m\sigma + (nm+u)l$. Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ be the multiplicity sequence of C with respects to ϕ. We have $0 \leq m_i \leq m$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i = (L \cdot C) = 2nm + 2u$ and $(m-1)(nm+2u-2) = 2p_a(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i(m_i-1)$ by Proposition 2.9. Thus $2nm + 2u \leq km$. Hence $k \geq 2n$. Therefore $(n,k) = (3,6), (3,7), (3,8)$ or $(4,8)$.

We consider the case $(n,k) = (4,8)$. Then $8m+2u = \sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i \leq 8m$. Thus $u = 0$ and $m_i = m$ for any i. Moreover, since $8m(m-1) = (m-1)(4m-2)$, we have $m = 1$. Therefore $C \sim \sigma + 4l$.

We consider the case $n = 3$ (note that $6 \leq k \leq 8$). We have $3m^2 + (2u+1)m + 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i^2 \geq ((6m+2u)/k)^2 k$. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (36-3k)m^2 + (24u - (2u+1)k)m + (4u^2 - 2k) \\ &= (36-3k)\left(m - \frac{(2u+1)k - 24u}{2(36-3k)}\right)^2 \\ &+ 4u^2 - 2k - \frac{((2u+1)k-24u)^2}{4(36-3k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $((2u+1)k-24u)^2/(4(36-3k)) \geq 4u^2 - 2k$ holds. Thus we have $4(k-12)(u^2+u-6)+k \geq 0$. If $u \geq 3$, then $4(k-12)(u^2+u-6)+k \leq 24(k-12)+k = 25k - 288 < 0$, a contradiction. Thus $u = 0$, 1 or 2.

The case $u = 2$: In this case, $0 \geq (36-3k)m^2 + (48-5k)m + (16-2k) = (3m+2)((12-k)m+8-k)$ holds. This leads to a contradiction.

The case $u = 1$: In this case, $0 \geq (36-3k)m^2 + (24-3k)m + (4-2k)$ holds. Hence we have

$$m \leq \frac{-24+3k+\sqrt{192k-15k^2}}{2(36-3k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{-6+\sqrt{612}}{36} < 1 & (k=6), \\ \frac{-3+\sqrt{609}}{30} < 1 & (k=7), \\ 1 & (k=8). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have $m = 1$ and $k = 8$.

The case $u = 0$: In this case, $0 \geq (36-3k)m^2 - km - 2k$ holds. Hence we have

$$m \leq \frac{k+\sqrt{288k-23k^2}}{2(36-3k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k=6), \\ \frac{7+\sqrt{889}}{30} < 2 & (k=7), \\ \frac{8+\sqrt{832}}{24} < 2 & (k=8). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have $m = 1$ and $k = 6$, 7, 8. □

The case $k = 2$: In this case, there exists a positive rational number t with $t \cdot \gcd(4n-2, 2n+2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-2)t$, $b = (2n+2)t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + 2l)$. We note that

$$\gcd(4n-2, 2n+2) = \begin{cases} 2 & (3 \nmid n-2), \\ 6 & (3 \mid n-2). \end{cases}$$

By Claim 4.11, we have $E = (n-2)t(4\sigma + 2l)$ for some fiber l. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l) = 2$, we have $\Delta \subset l$. If $3 \nmid n-2$ and $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(2n\text{-}1, n+1), n; 2(n\text{-}2), n\text{-}2}]_1$. If $3 \mid n-2$ (set $3m := n-2$) and $t = 1/6$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(2m+1, m+1), 3m+2; 2m, m}]_1$.

The case $k = 3$: In this case, there exists a positive rational number t with $t \cdot \gcd(4n-3, 2n+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-3)t$, $b = (2n+1)t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + 3l)$. We note that

$$\gcd(4n-3, 2n+1) = \begin{cases} 1 & (5 \nmid n-2), \\ 5 & (5 \mid n-2). \end{cases}$$

By Claim 4.11, we have $E = (n-2)t(4\sigma + 3l)$ for some fiber l. For any point $P \in \Delta$, we have $3(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = 3$ and $\operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2$. If $5 \nmid n-2$ and $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(4n\text{-}3, 2n+1), n; 4(n\text{-}2), 3(n\text{-}2)}]_1$. If $5 \mid n-2$ (set $5m := n-2$) and $t = 1/5$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(4m+1, 2m+1), 5m+2; 4m, 3m}]_1$.

The case $k = 4$: In this case, there exists a positive rational number t with $t \cdot \gcd(4n-4, 2n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-4)t$, $b = 2nt$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + 4l)$. We note that

$$\gcd(4n-4, 2n) = \begin{cases} 2 & (2 \nmid n), \\ 4 & (2 \mid n). \end{cases}$$

By Claim 4.11, we have $E = 4(n-2)t\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$ such that $c_i \geq 2(n-2)t$, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 4(n-2)t$ and l_i are distinct fibers. In particular, $j \leq 2$ holds.

We consider the case $j = 2$. Then $E = 2(n-2)t(2\sigma + l_1 + l_2)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{2} \deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2 + 2 = 4 = k$, we have $\Delta \subset l_1 \cup l_2$. If $2 \nmid n$ and $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2n\text{-}2, n), n; 2(n\text{-}2), 2(n\text{-}2)}]_{11}$. If $2 \mid n$ (set $2m := n-2$) and $t = 1/4$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2m+1, m+1), 2m+2; 2m, 2m}]_{11}$.

We consider the case $j = 1$. Then $E = 4(n-2)t(\sigma + l)$. For any point $P \in \Delta$, we have $4(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = 2 \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l)$. Assume that $|\Delta| = \{P\}$. Then $(\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l)) = (4, 2)$. If $2 \nmid n$ and $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2n\text{-}2, n), n; 2(n\text{-}2), 2(n\text{-}2)}]_1\boldsymbol{(1)}$. If $2 \mid n$ (set $2m := n-2$) and $t = 1/4$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2m+1, m+1), 2m+2; 2m, 2m}]_1\boldsymbol{(1)}$. Assume that $|\Delta| = \{P_1, P_2\}$. Then $(\operatorname{mult}_{P_i} \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l)) = (2, 1)$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $2 \nmid n$ and $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2n\text{-}2, n), n; 2(n\text{-}2), 2(n\text{-}2)}]_1\boldsymbol{(2)}$. If $2 \mid n$ (set $2m := n-2$) and $t = 1/4$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\boldsymbol{(2m+1, m+1), 2m+2; 2m, 2m}]_1\boldsymbol{(2)}$.

The case $k = 5$: In this case, there exists a positive rational number t with $t \cdot \gcd(4n-5, 2n-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-5)t$, $b = (2n-1)t$,

$L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + 5l)$. We note that

$$\gcd(4n-5, 2n-1) = \begin{cases} 1 & (3 \nmid n-2), \\ 3 & (3 \mid n-2). \end{cases}$$

By Claim 4.11, we have $E = 4(n-2)t\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$ such that $c_i \geq 2(n-2)t$, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 5(n-2)t$ and l_i are distinct fibers. In particular, $j \leq 2$ holds.

We consider the case $j = 2$. We can assume that $c_1 \geq c_2$. For any point $P_i \in \Delta \cap l_i$, we have $c_i \operatorname{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_{P_i} \Delta$. Since $5(n-2)t/2 \leq c_1 \leq 3(n-2)t$ and $\operatorname{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) \leq 2$, we have $(c_1, c_2) = (3(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t)$, $(\operatorname{mult}_{P_1} \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap l_1)) = (3, 2)$, and $(\operatorname{mult}_{P_2} \Delta, \operatorname{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap l_2)) = (1, 1)$ or $(2, 2)$. If $3 \nmid n-2$ and $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}5,2n\text{-}1),n;4(n\text{-}2),5(n\text{-}2)}]_{32}$. If $3 \mid n-2$ (set $3m := n-2$) and $t = 1/3$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4m+1,2m+1),3m+2;4m,5m}]_{32}$.

We consider the case $j = 1$. Then $E = (n-2)t(4\sigma + 5l)$. For any $P \in \Delta$, we have $5(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_P \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $|\Delta| = \{P\}$, $\operatorname{mult}_P \Delta = 5$ and $\operatorname{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l) = 2$. In this case, the maximum of the coefficients of E_M is equal to $6(n-2)t$. This value must be smaller than $a = (4n-5)t$. Thus $n = 3$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(7,5),3;4,5}]_1$.

The case $k = 6$: In this case, there exists a positive rational number t with $2t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = (4n-6)t$, $b = (2n-2)t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma + 6l)$. Assume that there exists a component of E apart from σ, l. Then such component must be σ_∞ and $n = 3$ by Claim 4.11. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = (L \cdot \sigma_\infty) = 6 = k$, we have $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$. Conversely, for $n = 3$ and for any section at infinity σ_∞, any subscheme $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$ with $\deg \Delta = 6$, the triplet $(X, 2t(\sigma + \sigma_\infty), \Delta)$ is a $(6t, 4t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus E must be equal to $2t(\sigma + \sigma_\infty)$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). If $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(3,2),3;2,3}]_{1\infty}$. We assume that $E = 4(n-2)t\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$ such that $c_i \geq 2(n-2)t$, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 6(n-2)t$ and l_i are distinct fibers. Thus $2 \leq j \leq 3$ since $6(n-2)t \geq a = (4n-6)t$.

We consider the case $j = 3$. Then $E = 2(n-2)t(2\sigma + l_1 + l_2 + l_3)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 6 = k$, we have $\Delta \subset l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$. If $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)}]_{111}$.

We consider the case $j = 2$. We can assume that $4(n-2)t \geq c_1 \geq 3(n-2)t \geq c_2 \geq 2(n-2)t$. Note that $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. For any $P_i \in \Delta \cap l_i$, we have $c_i \operatorname{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2(n-2)t \operatorname{mult}_{P_i} \Delta$ by Example 2.5. Thus $(c_1, c_2) = (4(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t)$ or $(3(n-2)t, 3(n-2)t)$. Assume that $(c_1, c_2) = (4(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t)$ and $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$.

Then $(\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}\Delta, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta\cap l_1)) = (4,2)$. If $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)}]_{21}\mathbf{(1)}$. Assume that $(c_1,c_2) = (4(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t)$ and $|\Delta|\cap l_1 = \{P_{11}, P_{12}\}$. Then $(\mathrm{mult}_{P_{1q}}\Delta, \mathrm{mult}_{P_{1q}}(\Delta\cap l_1)) = (2,1)$ for $q = 1, 2$. If $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)}]_{21}\mathbf{(2)}$. Assume that $(c_1,c_2) = (3(n-2)t, 3(n-2)t)$. Then $|\Delta|\cap l_i = \{P_i\}$, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}\Delta = 3$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $2\nmid n$ and $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}6,2n\text{-}2),n;4(n\text{-}2),6(n\text{-}2)}]_{11}$. If $2 \mid n$ (set $2m := n-2$) and $t = 1/2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4m+1,2m+1),2m+2;4m,6m}]_{11}$.

The case $k = 7$: In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = (4n-7)t$, $b = (2n-3)t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(4\sigma+7l)$.

Assume that there exists a component of E apart from σ, l. Then such component must be equal to σ_∞ and $n = 3$ by Claim 4.11. We note that $\deg(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty) = 6$. The curve σ_∞ is the only component of E apart from σ, l. Indeed, if there exists another component $\sigma'_\infty \le E$, then $(\sigma_\infty\cdot\sigma'_\infty) \ge 6+6-7 = 5$ by Corollary 2.10. This leads to a contradiction. Thus $E = (4t-d)\sigma + d\sigma_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$, where $0 < d \le 2t$, $j \ge 0$, l_i are distinct fibers and $3d + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 7t$ by Definition 3.11 (7ii). Let $\sigma_{\infty,M}$ be the strict transform of σ_∞ on M. Then $(\sigma_{\infty,M}^2) = -3$. Thus $-5t = a(-K_M\cdot\sigma_{\infty,M}) = (E_M\cdot\sigma_{\infty,M}) \ge (-3)\,\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_\infty} E = -3d$. Hence $d \ge 5t/3$. Since $\deg(\Delta\cap l_i) = 2$, $k \ge \deg(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty) + j = 6 + j$. Thus $j = 1$ (if $j = 0$, then $d = 7t/3$, a contradiction). Set $P := \sigma_\infty\cap l_1$. We consider the case such that there exists a point $Q \in \Delta\cap(l_1\setminus\sigma_\infty)$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_Q\Delta = 1$ since $k \ge \deg(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty) + \mathrm{mult}_Q\Delta = 6 + \mathrm{mult}_Q\Delta$. Thus $c_1 = 2t$ and $d = 5t/3$ by Example 2.5. Moreover, $2t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta = (5t/3)\,\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty) + 2t$ by Example 2.6. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta = \mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty) = 6$. If $t = 3$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(15,9),3;12,21}]_{5\infty 1}$. Now we consider the case $|\Delta|\cap l_1 = \{P\}$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta\cap l_1) = 2$. Thus $2t\,\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta = d + 2(7t-3d) = 14t - 5d$. Since $d \ge 5t/3$, we have $\mathrm{mult}_P\Delta = 2$ and $d = 2t$. Then for any $Q \in \Delta\cap(\sigma_\infty\setminus\{P\})$, $\mathrm{mult}_Q\Delta = \mathrm{mult}_Q(\Delta\cap\sigma_\infty)$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(5,3),3;4,7}]_{2\infty 1}$.

Assume that any component of E is either σ or a fiber. Then $E = 4(n-2)t\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 7(n-2)t$ and l_i are distinct fibers. Since $2(n-2)t \le c_i < (4n-7)t$, we have $j = 2$ or 3. We have $\deg(\Delta\cap l_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, for any $P_i \in \Delta\cap l_i$, $c_i\,\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l_i) = 2(n-2)t\,\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}\Delta$. Since $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta\cap l_i) \le 2$ and $5(n-2)t \ge (4n-7)t$, we have $c_i = 2(n-2)t$, $3(n-2)t$ or $4(n-2)t$.

We consider the case $j = 3$. Then we can assume that $(c_1,c_2,c_3) = (3(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t, 2(n-2)t)$. Moreover, $|\Delta|\cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}\Delta = 3$

and $\mathrm{mult}_{P_q}\Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_q}(\Delta \cap l_q)$ for any $q = 2, 3$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)}]_{322}$.

We consider the case $j = 2$. Then we can assume that $(c_1, c_2) = (4(n-2)t, 3(n-2)t)$. Moreover, $|\Delta| \cap l_2 = \{P_2\}$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}\Delta = 3$. We consider the case $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_1\}$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}\Delta = 4$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)}]_{43}\mathbf{(1)}$. We consider the case $|\Delta| \cap l_1 = \{P_{11}, P_{12}\}$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_{1q}}\Delta = 2$ for $q = 1, 2$. If $t = 1$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)}]_{43}\mathbf{(2)}$.

The case $k = 8$: In this case, there exists a positive integer t such that $a = 2t$, $b = t$, $L \sim 2\sigma + 2nl$ and $E \sim (n-2)t(2\sigma + 4l)$. Since $\mathrm{coeff}_\sigma E < a = 2t$, there exists a component $C \leq E$ apart from σ, l. By Claim 4.11, one of the following holds: $n = 4$ and $C = \sigma_\infty$, $n = 3$ and $C \sim \sigma + 4l$, or $n = 3$ and $C = \sigma_\infty$. Assume that $n = 4$ and $C = \sigma_\infty$. Then $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$ since $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 8$. Conversely, for $n = 4$, for any section at infinity σ_∞ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset \sigma_\infty$ such that $\deg \Delta = 8$, the triplet $(X, t\sigma + t\sigma_\infty, \Delta)$ is a $(2t, t)$-fundamental triplet. Thus $E = t\sigma + t\sigma_\infty$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $n = 3$ and $C \sim \sigma + 4l$. Then $\Delta \subset C$ since $\deg(\Delta \cap C) = 8$. Conversely, for $n = 3$, for an irreducible $C \sim \sigma + 4l$ and any subscheme $\Delta \subset C$ such that $\deg \Delta = 8$ and $\Delta \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, the triplet $(X, t\sigma + tC, \Delta)$ is a $(2t, t)$-fundamental triplet (since C and σ intersect transversally). Thus $E = t\sigma + tC$ by Lemma 3.13 (6). This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $n = 3$ and $C = \sigma_\infty$. We note that $\deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$. The curve σ_∞ is the only component of E apart from σ, l. Indeed, if there exists another component $\sigma'_\infty \leq E$, then $(\sigma_\infty \cdot \sigma'_\infty) \geq 6 + 6 - 8 = 4$ by Corollary 2.10. This leads to a contradiction. Thus $E = (2t - d)\sigma + d\sigma_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i l_i$, where $0 < d \leq t$, $j \geq 0$, l_i are distinct fibers and $3d + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_i = 4t$ by Definition 3.11 (7ii). Let $\sigma_{\infty,M}$ be the strict transform of σ_∞ on M. Then $(\sigma^2_{\infty,M}) = -3$. Thus $-2t = a(-K_M \cdot \sigma_{\infty,M}) = (E_M \cdot \sigma_{\infty,M}) \geq (-3)\,\mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_\infty} E = -3d$. Hence $d \geq 2t/3$. Since $\deg(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$, $k \geq \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) + j = 6 + j$. Thus $j = 1$ or 2 (if $j = 0$, then $d = 4t/3$, a contradiction).

We consider the case $j = 1$. Then $c_1 = 4t - 3d$. If $d < t$, then $|\Delta| \cap \sigma_\infty = \{P\}$, where $P := \sigma_\infty \cap l_1$. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 6$. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_P(\Delta \cap l_1) = 1$. Hence there exists a point $Q \in \Delta \cap (l_1 \setminus \{P\})$. Since $\mathrm{mult}_Q(\Delta \cap l_2) = 1$ and $\mathrm{mult}_Q \Delta \leq \deg \Delta - \deg(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 2$, $4t - 3d = t$ or $2t$ holds. By the assumption $d < t$, $d = 2t/3$. However, in this case, $c_1 = 2t$ holds, a contradiction. Thus $d = t$, that is, $E = t(\sigma + \sigma_\infty + l_1)$. This contradicts to the assumption $\mathrm{coeff}(E/b) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

We consider the case $j = 2$. Let $P_i := \sigma_\infty \cap l_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $d = t$. Then $c_1 + c_2 = t$ (thus $c_i < t$), $|\Delta| \cap l_i = \{P_i\}$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i}(\Delta \cap l_i) = 2$ holds. Hence $t \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 2c_i + t$. Taking the sum, we have $4t \leq t(\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta + \mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta) = 4t$. Thus $\mathrm{mult}_{P_i} \Delta = 2$ and $c_i = 1/2$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $t = 2$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$. Now assume that $d < t$. Then $|\Delta| \cap \sigma_\infty \subset \{P_1, P_2\}$. We can assume that $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) \geq 3$. Then $t \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = c_1 + d \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty)$. Since there exists a point $Q_1 \in \Delta \cap l_1 \setminus \{P_1\}$ such that $\mathrm{mult}_{Q_1}(\Delta \cap l_1) = 1$, $c_1 = t \,\mathrm{mult}_{Q_1} \Delta$. Since $c_1 < 2t$, we have $c_1 = t$, $\mathrm{mult}_{Q_1} \Delta = 1$ and $t(\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta - 1) = d \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty)$. Thus

$$t > d = t \frac{\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta - 1}{\mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty)}.$$

Since $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta \geq \mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty)$, we have $\mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_1}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty)$ and $(d, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta) = (2t/3, 3), (3t/4, 4), (4t/5, 5)$ or $(5t/6, 6)$. If $(d, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta) = (2t/3, 3)$, then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 3$. By using the same argument, we have $c_2 = t$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 3$. If $t = 3$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(6,3),3;6,12}]_{2\infty 11}$. If $(d, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta) = (3t/4, 4)$, then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap l_2) = 1$ since $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 2$. Since $c_2 = 4t - 3d - c_1 = 3t/4$, we have $t \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = 3t/4 + 2(3t/4)$. This leads to a contradiction since $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $(d, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta) = (4t/5, 5)$, then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 1$ and $c_2 = 4t - 3d - c_1 = 3t/5$. Thus $t \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = 4t/5 + (3t/5) \,\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap l_2)$. Hence $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2} \Delta = \mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap l_2) = 2$. If $t = 5$, then this triplet is nothing but the type $[\mathbf{(10,5),3;10,20}]_{4\infty 53}$. If $(d, \mathrm{mult}_{P_1} \Delta) = (5t/6, 6)$, then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_2}(\Delta \cap \sigma_\infty) = 0$ and $c_2 = t/2$. This contradicts that $\deg(\Delta \cap l_2) = 2$.

Consequently, we have completed the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

5. Some structure properties

5.1. **From (a, b)-fundamental triplets to (a, b)-basic pairs.** In this section, we see that normalized (a, b)-fundamental triplets are uniquely determined by the associated (a, b)-basic pairs. The proof is essentially same as [Nak07, Theorem 4.9]. We recall the following proposition in order to prove Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 5.1 ([Nak07, Proposition 4.10])**.** *Let $f \colon Y \to T$ be a proper surjective morphism from a nonsingular surface Y to a nonsingular curve T such that a general fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two sections of f such that $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ and $K_Y + E_1 + E_2$ is f-numerically trivial. Assume that $f_* \mathcal{O}_{E_1}(E_1) \simeq f_* \mathcal{O}_{E_2}(E_2)$. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\Gamma_{i1} + \Gamma_{i2}$ be a fiber of f for any $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $\hat{Y} \to Y$ be the blowing up along the all the intersection points $\Gamma_{i1} \cap \Gamma_{i2}$, and let*

$\hat{E}_1$, $\hat{E}_2$, $\hat{\Gamma}_{i1}$, $\hat{\Gamma}_{i2}$ be the strict transform of E_1, E_2, Γ_{i1}, Γ_{i2}, respectively. Then there is an involution ι of $\hat{Y}$ over T such that $\iota(\hat{E}_1) = \hat{E}_2$ and $\iota(\hat{\Gamma}_{i1}) = \hat{\Gamma}_{i2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Proof. By [Nak07, Proposition 4.10], there exists an involution ι' of Y over T such that $\iota'(E_1) = E_2$. The involution ι' fixes the intersection point $\Gamma_{i1} \cap \Gamma_{i2}$ for any i. Thus ι' lifts to an involution ι of $\hat{Y}$. Then the assertion follows. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, $b > 1$, and let (X, E, Δ) be a normalized (a, b)-fundamental triplet. Then the isomorphism class of (X, E, Δ) depends only on the isomorphism class of the associated normalized (a, b)-basic pair (M, E_M).*

Proof. We may assume that $K_X + L$ is not big. Let (X', E', Δ') be another normalized (a, b)-fundamental triplet whose associated (a, b)-basic pair is (M, E_M). Let $\phi\colon M \to X$, $\phi'\colon M \to X'$ be the elimination of Δ, Δ', respectively. Then $\pi \circ \phi = \pi' \circ \phi'$ holds, where $\pi\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi'\colon X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are the fibrations. Then the types of (X, E, Δ) and (X', E', Δ') are same. Moreover, $\phi \simeq \phi'$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ excepts for the case that the type is one of $[\mathbf{(3,2),3;2,3}]_{1\infty}$, $[\mathbf{(5,3),3;4,7}]_{2\infty 1}$ or $[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$ by [Nak07, Lemma 4.5].

Assume that the type is $[\mathbf{(3,2),3;2,3}]_{1\infty}$. Then $E_M = \sigma_M + \sigma_{\infty,M}$, where σ_M, $\sigma_{\infty,M}$ is the strict transform of σ, σ_∞, respectively. Then there exists an involution ι of M over $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\iota(\sigma_M) = \sigma_{\infty,M}$ by Proposition 5.1. Thus $\phi' \simeq \phi \circ \iota$ and $(X, E, \Delta) \simeq (X', E', \Delta')$.

Assume that the type is $[\mathbf{(5,3),3;4,7}]_{2\infty 1}$. Let $P := \sigma_\infty \cap l$, where σ_∞, $l \leq E$. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be the exceptional curve on M over P with $(\Gamma_1^2) = -2$, $(\Gamma_2^2) = -1$. Then $E_M = 2\sigma_M + l_M + \Gamma_1 + 2\sigma_{\infty,M}$, where l_M, σ_M, $\sigma_{\infty,M}$ is the strict transform of l, σ, σ_∞, respectively. Then there exists an involution ι of M over $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\iota(\sigma_M) = \sigma_{\infty,M}$ and $\iota(l_M) = \Gamma_1$ by Proposition 5.1 (apply Proposition 5.1 for the surface M', where $M \to M'$ is obtained by contracting Γ_2). Thus $\phi' \simeq \phi \circ \iota$ and $(X, E, \Delta) \simeq (X', E', \Delta')$.

Assume that the type is $[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$. Let $P_i := \sigma_\infty \cap l_i$, where σ_∞, $l_i \leq E$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let Γ_{i1}, Γ_{i2} be the exceptional curves on M over P_i with $(\Gamma_{i1}^2) = -2$, $(\Gamma_{i2}^2) = -1$. Then $E_M = 2\sigma_M + l_{1M} + l_{2M} + \Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{21} + 2\sigma_{\infty,M}$, where l_{1M}, l_{2M}, σ_M, $\sigma_{\infty,M}$ is the strict transform of l_1, l_2, σ, σ_∞, respectively. Then there exists an involution ι of M over $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\iota(\sigma_M) = \sigma_{\infty,M}$, $\iota(l_{1M}) = \Gamma_{11}$ and $\iota(l_{2M}) = \Gamma_{21}$ by Proposition 5.1 (apply Proposition 5.1 for the surface M', where $M \to M'$ is obtained by contracting Γ_{12} and Γ_{22}). Thus $\phi' \simeq \phi \circ \iota$ and $(X, E, \Delta) \simeq (X', E', \Delta')$. □

TABLE 1. The list of the dual graphs of E $(1/2 < b/a < 1)$.

$[(3,2),1]_0$	
$[(11,7),5]_0$	
$[(5,3),3]_0(1)$	
$[(5,3),3]_0(2)$	
$[(9,5),7]_{\times 43}$	
$[(5,3),2;1,2]_1$	
$[(7,4),2;2,4]_1$	
$[(13,7),2;5,10]_1$	
$[(21,11),2;9,7]_1$	
$[(2n\text{-}1,n+1),n;2(n\text{-}2),n\text{-}2]_1$ $[(2m+1,m+1),3m+2;2m,m]_1$ $(n = 3m+2)$	
$[(4n\text{-}3,2n+1),n;4(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)]_1$ $[(4m+1,2m+1),5m+2;4m,3m]_1$ $(n = 5m+2)$	
$[(2n\text{-}2,n),n;2(n\text{-}2),2(n\text{-}2)]_{11}$ $[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]_{11}$ $(n = 2m+2)$	
$[(2n\text{-}2,n),n;2(n\text{-}2),2(n\text{-}2)]_1(1)$ $[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]_1(1)$ $(n = 2m+2)$	
$[(2n\text{-}2,n),n;2(n\text{-}2),2(n\text{-}2)]_1(2)$ $[(2m+1,m+1),2m+2;2m,2m]_1(2)$ $(n = 2m+2)$	
$[(4n\text{-}5,2n\text{-}1),n;4(n\text{-}2),5(n\text{-}2)]_{32}$ $[(4m+1,2m+1),3m+2;4m,5m]_{32}$ $(n = 3m+2)$	
$[(7,5),3;4,5]_1$	
$[(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)]_{111}$	
$[(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)]_{21}(1)$	
$[(2n\text{-}3,n\text{-}1),n;2(n\text{-}2),3(n\text{-}2)]_{21}(2)$	
$[(4n\text{-}6,2n\text{-}2),n;4(n\text{-}2),6(n\text{-}2)]_{11}$ $[(4m+1,2m+1),2m+2;4m,6m]_{11}$ $(n = 2m+2)$	
$[(3,2),3;2,3]_{1\infty}$	
$[(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)]_{322}$	
$[(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)]_{43}(1)$	
$[(4n\text{-}7,2n\text{-}3),n;4(n\text{-}2),7(n\text{-}2)]_{43}(2)$	
$[(15,9),3;12,21]_{5\infty 1}$	
$[(5,3),3;4,7]_{2\infty 1}$	

TABLE 2. The list of the dual graphs of E ($b/a = 1/2$).

$[\mathbf{(6,3),6}]_{\times 21}$	
$[\mathbf{(6,3),3;6,12}]_{2\infty 11}$	
$[\mathbf{(10,5),3;10,20}]_{4\infty 53}$	
$[\mathbf{(4,2),3;4,8}]_{2\infty 11}$	

5.2. **Non-Gorenstein dual graphs.** As an immediate corollary of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we can check the dual graph of E for any normalized (a,b)-basic pair (M,E). We note that the dual graph of E is nothing but the dual graph of the minimal resolution of non-Gorenstein singular points on S, where S is the log del Pezzo surface of the normalized multi-index (a,b) corresponds to (M,E).

Corollary 5.3. *Let a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, $b > 1$, S be a log del Pezzo surface of the normalized multi-index (a,b) and (X,E,Δ) be the associated normalized (a,b)-fundamental triplet. The dual graph of the minimal resolution of non-Gorenstein singular points on S is determined by the type of (X,E,Δ) and are listed in Tables 1 and 2.*

5.3. **Exceptional curves.**

Proposition 5.4 (cf. [Nak07, Lemma 4.13])**.** *Let a, b be positive integers with $1/2 \leq b/a < 1$, $b > 1$, S be a log del Pezzo surface of the normalized multi-index (a,b), (M,E_M) be the associated normalized (a,b)-basic pair and (X,E,Δ) be the associated normalized (a,b)-fundamental triplet. An irreducible curve C on M is exceptional for $\alpha\colon M \to S$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(1) *C is a (-2)-curve contracted by the elimination $\phi\colon M \to X$;*
(2) *C is the strict transform of an irreducible component of E.*

Proof. The curve C is α-exceptional if and only if $(L_M \cdot C) = 0$, where L_M is the fundamental divisor of (M,E_M). Set $C_X := \phi_* C$. If C is ϕ-exceptional, then $(L_M \cdot C) = 0$ if and only if C is a (-2)-curve. Assume that C is not ϕ-exceptional and C_X is not an irreducible component of E. By Claims 4.5, 4.9 and 4.11, one of the following holds:

(i) $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and C_X is a line.
(ii) $X = \mathbb{F}_n$ and C_X is a fiber.
(iii) $X = \mathbb{F}_3$, $K_X + L$ is not big, $6 \leq \deg \Delta_X \leq 8$ and C_X is a section at infinity, where L is the fundamental divisor.

In particular, C_X is nonsingular. Thus $\deg(\Delta \cap C_X) = (L \cdot C_X)$ holds. However, by Corollary 2.10, the curve C_X must be equal to an irreducible component of E, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore the assertion follows. □

References

[Ale88] V. A. Alexeev, *Fractional indices of log del Pezzo surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **52** (1988), no. 6, 1288–1304, 1328; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. **33** (1989), no. 3, 613–629.

[Ale91] V. A. Alexeev, *Theorems about good divisors on log Fano varieties (case of index $r > n - 2$)*, Algebraic geometry (Chicago, IL, 1989), 1–9, Lecture Notes in Math., **1479**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[AN88] V. A. Alexeev and V. V. Nikulin, *Classification of del Pezzo surfaces with log-terminal singularities of index ≤ 2, involutions on $K3$ surfaces, and reflection groups in Lobachevskiĭ spaces* (Russian), Lectures in mathematics and its applications, Vol. 2, No. 2 (Russian), 51–150, Ross. Akad. Nauk, Inst. Mat. im. Steklova, Moscow, 1988.

[AN89] V. A. Alexeev and V. V. Nikulin, *Classification of del Pezzo surfaces with log-terminal singularities of index ≤ 2 and involutions on $K3$ surfaces* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **306** (1989), no. 3, 525–528; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **39** (1989), no. 3, 507–511.

[AN06] V. A. Alexeev and V. V. Nikulin, *Del Pezzo and $K3$ surfaces*, MSJ Memoirs, **15**. Math. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 2006.

[Bre80] L. Brenton, *On singular complex surfaces with negative canonical bundle, with applications to singular compactifications of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and to 3-dimensional rational singularities*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), no. 2, 117–124.

[Dem80] M. Demazure, *Surfaces de del Pezzo II–V*, in *Séminaire sur les Singularités des Surfaces* (eds. M. Demazure, H. Pinkham and B. Teissier), Lecture Notes in Math., **777** (1980), Springer, Berlin, pp. 35–68.

[Fuj75] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized varieties with Δ-genera zero*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **22** (1975), 103–115.

[HMX12] C. D. Hacon, J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan and C. Xu, *ACC for log canonical thresholds*, arXiv:1208.4150.

[HW81] F. Hidaka and K-i. Watanabe, *Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor*, Tokyo J. Math. **4** (1981), no. 2, 319–330.

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Cambridge Tracts in Math., **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[Mor82] S. Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), no. 1, 133–176.

[Nak07] N. Nakayama, *Classification of log del Pezzo surfaces of index two*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **14** (2007), no. 3, 293–498.

[OT12] H. Ohashi and S. Taki, *$K3$ surfaces and log del Pezzo surfaces of index three*, Manuscripta Math. **139** (2012), no. 3–4, 443–471.

[Tan12] H. Tanaka, *The X-method for klt surfaces in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1202.2497.

[TVAV11] D. Testa, A. Várilly-Alvarado and M. Velasco, *Big rational surfaces*, Math. Ann. **351** (2011), no. 1, 95–107.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan

E-mail address: fujita@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp